

Table 1. KMnO₄ Oxidation of Alcohols using various setups^a

Entry	Alcohol	Product	New reactor ^b	Yield (% , time in hr)	
				Ultrasound ^c Lab. Cleaner	Mechanical ^c Stirrer
1	Cyclohexanol	Cyclohexanone	87(3) ^d	53.2(5)	4.2(5)
2	2-Octanol	2-Octanone	93(1)	93(5)	2.6(5)
3	Cyclododecanol	Cyclododecanone	45(2) ^d	84.1(32)	
4	PhCH = CHCH ₂ OH	PhCH = CHCHO	90(2) ^e	82.8(3)	4.5(3)
5	1-Octanol	Octanal	92(1)	80.5(14) ^f	
6	PhCH ₂ OH	PhCHO	90(0.5)	29.7(1.5)	
7	PhCH(OH)Ph	PhCOPh	95(0.5)	98.9(5)	
8	Cycloheptanol	Cycloheptanone	85(1)	45.1(5) ^g	4.5(5) ^h
9	4-ClC ₆ H ₄ CH ₂ OH	4-ClC ₆ H ₄ CHO	92(0.5)	73.8(3) ^e	16.5(5) ^h
10	1-Octene-3-ol	1-Octene-3-one	21(12) ^d	43.6(24)	

^a2:12.8 mmole of alcohol : KMnO₄ were employed at 15 °C in 6 ml of benzene. ^bisolated yield, otherwise noted. ^csame reaction conditions except temperature (50 °C) and solvent (entry 1,2,5) used hexane respectively. see : ref. 7b. ^dGC yields. ^ecarried out with 80 watt. ^foctanoic acid. ^gour results at same reaction condition. ^hour results with magnetic stirrer.

transducer. Alcohol (0.01 mole), 2g (0.0128 mole) of powdered and dried KMnO₄ and 6 ml of benzene were added to the flask under nitrogen and the mixture was sonicated for 1–12 hrs. Reaction vessel temperature was maintained at 15 °C by using a running water bath.

A strong atomization phenomena (fogginess) was occurred during sonication. The reactions were monitored by GC. Isolation involved filtering to remove KMnO₄, ether washes of KMnO₄. The major product was isolated by simple distillation under reduced pressure or crystallization. The products were identified by GC, IR and NMR spectra. We are currently exploring a number of applications of this reactor and will report on them in due course.

Acknowledgement. We thank the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (Grant No. 891-0302-026-2) for financial support.

References

1. J. C. De S. Barboza, C. Petrier and J. L. Luche, *J. Org.*

Chem., **53**, 1212 (1988) and some of the most recent papers cited.

2. E. Erdik, *Tetrahedron*, **43**, 10,2203 (1987).
3. J. Jurczak and R. Ostzewki, *ibid.*, **29**, 8,959 (1988).
4. K. S. Suslick, *Advanced Organomet. Chem.*, **25**, 73 (1986) and 261 reference cited there in.
5. P. Boudjouk, ACS Symposium Series No. 333. High Energy Processes in Organometallic Chemistry (1987).
6. K. S. Suslick, P. F. Schubert and J. W. Goodale, *Ultrasonics Symposium* 612 (1981).
7. Oxidation of alcohol with KMnO₄, (a) W. S. Li and L. K. Liu, *Synthesis*, 293 (1989); (b) J. Yamawaki, S. Sumi, T. Ando, and T. Hanafusa, *Chem. Lett.*, 379 (1983); (c) S. L. Regen and C. Koteel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 3837 (1977); (d) F. M. Menger and C. Lee, *J. Org. Chem.*, **44**, 3446 (1979); *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **22**, 2655 (1981); (e) N. A. Noureldin and D. G. Lee, *ibid.*, **22**, 4889 (1981); *J. Org. Chem.*, **47**, 2790 (1982); (f) J. H. Clark and D. G. Cork, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commu.*, 635 (1982).

Enantioselective Inhibition Effect on Esterolytic Activity of β -Cyclodextrin by Inclusion with N-Benzoxycarbonyl-L-histidine

Burm-Jong Lee[†] and Iwhan Cho^{*}

Department of Chemistry, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Seoul 131 – 650

[†]*Department of Chemistry, Inje University, Kimhae 621 – 170. Received November 14, 1989*

Fine processes in which substrates bind into cyclodextrin cavities and then undergo reactions with one of the secondary cyclodextrin's hydroxyl groups have attracted great attention as models of enzymatic reactions¹. To improve the reaction rates²⁻⁴ and stereoselectivities^{3,4} for many types of

reactions, particularly for the cleavage of activated esters, the derivatives of cyclodextrins⁵⁻⁷ have also been studied. In those systems catalytic or reactive functional groups such as imidazole are present to attack the bound substrate. However, the alteration of cyclodextrins' own catalytic activity




Figure 1. The simplified structure of possible inclusion complex of β -CD and N-Cbz-His.




Figure 2. Spectra of N-Cbz-His at β -CD concentrations of 1.59×10^{-2} (---), 8.51×10^{-3} (---), and 0 (—) M (concentration of N-Cbz-His: 3.13×10^{-3} M; Tris buffer, pH 10.0). The secondary band of N-Cbz-His is represented.

along with stereochemistry caused by binding other kinds of chiral molecules containing catalytic functional group has virtually never been reported. Only from the recent work of Ohkubo and coworkers⁷, slightly favored inhibition effect to D-substrate could be found in hydrolysis of N-acylamino acid esters by equimolar mixtures of β -cyclodextrin (β -CD) and L-histidine.

In this communication we report the remarkable enantioselective effect on the esterolytic activity of β -CD by inclusion with N-carbobenzoxy-L-histidine (N-Cbz-His). Even though the N-Cbz-His possesses catalytically active imidazole group, the cyclodextrin has showed the decreased activity toward the optically active activated esters rather than synergistically improved activity by complexing with N-Cbz-His. The inclusion of benzyl group into the hydrophobic cavity of the cyclodextrin (Figure 1) was confirmed by UV absorption and NMR spectra.

Figure 2 shows the secondary band of absorption spectra

Table 1. Apparent Catalytic Rate Constants of Solvolyses of Optically Active Substrates^a in Catalytic Systems of m -CD and N-Cbz-His^b in 0.02 M Tris Buffer at 25 °C (pH 10.0)

Catalytic System ^c	k_{cat} (1/mole-sec)					
	NBP			NDP		
	D	L	L/D	D	L	L/D
N-Cbz-His	0.35	0.36	1.02	0.008	0.008	1.00
β -CD	0.58	1.62	2.78	0.14	0.20	1.42
β -CD+N-Cbz-His ^d	0.20	0.85	4.25	0.02	0.04	2.00

^aThe concentration of substrates: 1.07×10^{-5} M. ^bN-Carbobenzoxy-L-histidine. ^cThe concentration of the β -CD: 5.86×10^{-4} M. ^dThe molar ratio ($[\beta\text{-CD}]/[\text{N-Cbz-His}]$) employed was 0.97. The calculation of k_{cat} was executed according to the concentration of m -CD.

of N-Cbz-His measured at different concentrations of β -CD between 1.59×10^{-2} M and 0 M in aqueous solution. The increase in absorption coefficient at 256 nm was used to determine the association constant according to the Bender method^{2a}. The analysis gave the value of ca. 15 for β -CD as the association constant. The 300 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra also confirmed the formation of inclusion complex from the change in chemical shifts at different concentration of β -CD. A simplified presentation of the possible structure of inclusion complex is represented in Figure 1.

Solvolytic rates were measured in aqueous solution buffered with 0.02 M tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane and hydrochloric acid with 0.02 M potassium chloride. N-Benzoxycarbonyl-D- and L-phenylalanine *p*-nitrophenyl esters (D-NBP and L-NBP) and N-dodecanoyl-D- and L-phenylalanine *p*-nitrophenyl esters (D-NDP and L-NDP) were used as substrates, which are optically active and possess hydrophobic side groups⁹.

As indicated in Table 1, the introduction of chiral N-Cbz-His into aqueous β -CD reduced the hydrolytic rate of the substrates with the enhancement of enantioselectivity, *i.e.*, the reaction of D-enantiomer is more inhibited by β -CD complex than that of L-substrate. Similarly to other amino acid substrates reported⁷, β -CD itself also showed enantioselective solvolytic activity to a certain degree toward D- and L-NBP and NDP. In case of D- and L-NBP pair, the enantioselectivity ($k_{cat}(L)/k_{cat}(D)$) of 2.78 was observed and this value was increased to 4.25 by introducing the N-Cbz-His. This effect was more pronounced compared with the case of D- and L-NDP. Taking the powerful nucleophilicity of imidazole group of N-Cbz-His into consideration, the reduced stereoselectivity of solvolytic rate also supports the catalytic action of the cyclodextrin via binding with substrates and the inhibitory complexing of N-Cbz-His into catalytic site of β -CD prior to the binding with substrates. Although the three functional groups such as hydroxyl, carboxyl, and imidazole, which are properly positioned together around the hydrophobic pocket in active site of chymotrypsin, are closely arranged, it was misfortune that we could not observe any contribution to the enhancement in the hydrolytic rate. Further examinations to explore the mechanistic relation between inclusion complex and stereochemistry of esterolysis are in progress.

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by In-

je Research and Scholarship Foundation in 1989.

References

- (a) M. L. Bender and M. Komiyama, "Cyclodextrin Chemistry", Springer-Verlag, New York (1977); (b) I. Tabushi, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **15**, 66 (1982).
- (a) R. L. Van Etten, J. F. Sebastian, G. A. Clowes, and M. L. Bender, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **89**, 3242, 3253 (1967); (b) H. J. Brass and M. L. Bender, *ibid.*, **95**, 5391 (1973); (c) O. S. Tee and J. M. Bennett, *ibid.*, **110**, 269 (1988).
- (a) R. Breslow, G. L. Trainor, and A. Ueono, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **105**, 2739 (1983); (b) E. Anslyn and R. Breslow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **111**, 5972 (1989); (c) A. V. Veglia and R. H. de Rossi, *J. Org. Chem.*, **53**, 5281 (1988); (d) K. R. Rao and P. B. Sattur, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 342 (1989); (e) A. B. Tabor, A. B. Holmes, and R. Baker, *ibid.*, 1025 (1989).
- (a) V. Daffe and J. Fastrez, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin II*, 789 (1983); (b) R. Fornasier, P. Scrimin, and U. Tonellato, *Tetra. Lett.*, **24**, 5541 (1983).
- (a) F. Cramer and G. Mackensen, *Angew. Chem.*, **78**, 641 (1966); (b) Y. Kitaura and M. L. Bender, *Bioorg. Chem.*, **4**, 237 (1975); (c) R. Breslow, P. Bovy, and C. L. Hersch, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **102**, 2115 (1980); (d) I. Tabushi and Y. Kuroda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **106**, 4580 (1984); (e) N. Tanaka, A. Yamaguchi, Y. Araki, *Chem. Lett.*, 715 (1987).
- (a) Y. Iwakura, K. Uno, F. Toda, S. Onojuka, K. Hattori, and M. L. Bender, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **97**, 4432 (1975); (b) H. Ikeda, R. Kojin, C.-J. Yoon, T. Ikeda, and F. Toda, *Chem. Lett.*, 1495 (1987); (c) M. Komiyama, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **111**, 3046 (1989); (d) E. U. Akkaya and A. W. Czarnik, *ibid.*, **110**, 8553 (1988).
- K. Ohkubo, Y. Nakano, and H. Nagamura, *J. Mol. Catal.*, **29**, 1 (1985).
- (a) R. J. Bergeron, M. A. Channing, G. J. Gibeily, and D. M. Pillor, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **99**, 5146 (1977); (b) Y. Inoue, F.-H. Kuan, and R. Chujo, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **60**, 2539 (1987).
- The preparation of substrates and kinetic methods have been described elsewhere. (a) I. Cho and J.-S. Shin, *Makromol. Chem.*, **184**, 147 (1983); (b) I. Cho and B.-J. Lee, *Polym. Bull.*, **17**, 383 (1987); (c) I. Cho and G.-C. Kim, *J. Org. Chem.*, **53**, 5187 (1988).

Benzophenone Sensitized Photoisomerization of 1,2-Bispyrazinylethylene

Pill-Hoon Bong and Sang Chul Shim*

Department of Chemistry, Jeon Ju University, Jeon Ju 560-759

*Department of Chemistry, Korea Advanced Institute of Science & Technology, Seoul 130-650

Received January 8, 1990


We have proposed a triplet mechanism for direct *trans* → *cis* photoisomerization of 1,2-bispyrazinylethylene (BPYe) on the basis of benzophenone sensitization and azulene quenching studies.¹ Benzophenone sensitizer, however, showed an anomalous concentration effect on the photoisomerization quantum yields^{1b} which could not be clearly explained at that time. We now propose a plausible mechanism to explain this anomaly.

A simple analysis of the data^{1b} shows a decrease of benzophenone sensitized *trans* → *cis* photoisomerization quantum yields as the concentration of benzophenone increases indicating that not all the benzophenone triplets generate the olefin triplets. The simplest mechanism which will account for this observation is shown in Scheme 1.

When the steady-state approximation is applied on the benzophenone sensitized *trans* → *cis* photoisomerization,

$$\Phi_{\text{BPC}}^{\text{obs}} = f(1-\alpha)\Phi_{\text{isc}} + (1-f)(1-\alpha) \frac{k_{\text{et}}[t]}{k_{\text{nr}} + k_{\text{et}}[t] + k_{\text{sq}}[B]} \quad (1)$$

and when azulene is added as a triplet quencher, the follow-



Scheme 1. Mechanism of the *trans* → *cis* photoisomerization of *trans*-1,2-bispyrazinylethylene.

ing Stern-Volmer relationship can be derived,

$$\Phi_{\text{BPC}}^{\text{obs}} / \Phi_{\text{BPC}} = \frac{(1+k_q[Az]/k_{\text{iso}})(f\Phi_{\text{isc}} + \frac{(1-f)k_{\text{et}}[t]}{k_{\text{nr}} + k_{\text{et}}[t] + k_{\text{sq}}[B]})}{(f\Phi_{\text{isc}} + \frac{(1-f)k_{\text{et}}[t]}{k_{\text{nr}} + k_{\text{et}}[t] + k_{\text{sq}}[B] + k'_q[Az]})} \quad (2)$$

where, $f = E_t[t]$ which is the fraction of photons absorbed by *trans*-BPYe at 366 nm, $(1-\alpha)$ is the fraction of decay from $^3f^*$