The First Synthesis of 3,5-Dimethylene-4-phenylpiperidine-2,6-dione from Baylis-Hillman Adduct

Mi Jung Lee, Seung Chan Kim, and Jae Nyoung Kim^{*}

Department of Chemistry and Institute of Basic Science, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 500-757, Korea *E-mail: kimjn@chonnam.ac.kr Received September 19, 2005

Key Words : Methylenepiperidine, Glutarimide, Baylis-Hillman adducts

Recently we reported the synthesis of *N*-benzyl 3,5disubstituted piperidines *via* double Michael addition strategy from the 1,4-pentadiene derivatives.¹ During the investigations we found the formation of 3-methylenepiperidin-2-one derivatives in low yields.¹ Lee and coworkers also reported the synthesis of benzylidenesuccinimide from methyl 2-cyanomethylcinnamates under FeCl₃/ AcOH conditions.²

Many compounds containing piperidine-2,6-dione moiety (simply as glutarimide) have been found in a variety of biologically important compounds.^{3,4} The synthesis of methylene- or alkylideneglutarimide derivatives has been studied by Koomen and co-workers.⁴ In these respects, we intended to prepare 3,5-dimethylenepiperidine-2,6-dione derivatives, which could be used as Michael acceptors for further transformations.

The requisite starting materials 1a and 1b were prepared

according to the previous paper from the corresponding Baylis-Hillman adducts (Scheme 1).^{1,5} In order to hydrolyze the nitrile group of **1** into amide functionality of **2**, we examined a variety of conditions.⁶ Among the conditions the use of excess amounts of H₂SO₄ in MeOH at low temperature gave the best yields of **2** (75-85%). With **2a** and **2b** in our hands, we tried the cyclization toward the desired glutarimide derivatives **3**. Fortunately we found that the use of NaHCO₃ in aqueous MeOH could afford the products **3a** and **3b** in reasonable yields (Scheme 2).⁷ However, due to the low nucleophilicity of the amide group of **2a** and **2b** the reaction rate for the cyclization reaction was slow.

In addition, when we subjected methyl 3-phenyl-2-cyanomethyl-2-propenoate $(4)^2$ under the same reaction conditions, we could obtain the benzylidenesuccinimide **6** *via* the corresponding amide derivative **5** in a slightly improved yield (51%) than the reported one (Scheme 3).²



Scheme 3



In order to examine the possibility for the synthesis of mono-alkylidene glutarimide we introduced malononitrile at the primary position of the Baylis-Hillman adduct and obtained 7 in 61% yield.⁸ This compound 7 was subjected under the similar conditions (hydrolysis followed by cyclization), and we obtained the desired compound 9 successfully although the yield was low (48%) as in Scheme 4.

In summary, we synthesized two dimethylene glutarimides and two benzylideneimide derivatives from Baylis-Hillman adducts. We are currently studying the usefulness of these compounds as Michael acceptors toward a variety of nucleophiles.

Experimental Section

Typical procedure for the synthesis of 2a and 3a. To a stirred solution of 1a (227 mg, 1.0 mmol) in MeOH (3 mL) was added H_2SO_4 (2 mL) cautiously at 0 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. After usual workup and column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/EtOAc, 1 : 1) we obtained 2a as a white solid, 209 mg (85%). A mixture of 2a (123 mg, 0.5 mmol) and NaHCO₃ (210 mg, 2.5 mmol) in aq MeOH (3 mL) was maintained at 30-40 °C for 72 h. After usual workup and column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/EtOAc, 4 : 1) we obtained 3a as a white solid, 78 mg (73%). Spectroscopic data of 2a-3b are as follows.

Compound **2a**: 85%; white solid, mp 80-82 °C; IR (KBr) 3321, 3186, 1720, 1670, 1631, 1597 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.72 (s, 3H), 5.17 (s, 1H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 5.88 (br s, 2H), 6.01 (s, 1H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 7.17-7.35 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 47.87, 52.08, 121.90, 127.08, 127.25, 128.55, 128.90, 138.57, 141.43, 145.09, 166.88, 169.85.

Compound **2b**: 75%; white solid, mp 61-63 °C; IR (KBr) 3336, 3201, 1720, 1670, 1631 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.34 (s, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 5.42 (s, 1H), 5.67 (s, 1H), 5.88 (s, 1H), 6.16 (br s, 2H), 6.29 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 33.95, 52.00, 121.71, 127.36, 137.44, 141.28, 167.28, 169.94.

Compound **3a**: 73%; white solid, mp 177-178 °C; IR (KBr) 1701, 1639 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 4.78 (s, 1H), 5.72 (s, 2H), 6.53 (s, 2H), 7.21-7.42 (m, 5H), 7.93 (br s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 50.18, 127.37,

127.71, 128.00, 129.25, 137.43, 138.22, 165.22; ESIMS *m*/*z* 214.1 (M⁺+H).

Compound **3b**:^{4a,4c} 32%; white solid, mp 148-150 °C; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.53 (s, 2H), 5.66 (s, 2H), 6.33 (s, 2H), 8.70 (br s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 34.72, 125.30, 133.42, 165.48.

Synthesis of compound 6. The starting material 4 was prepared according to the previous paper.² To a stirred solution of 4 (201 mg, 1.0 mmol) in MeOH (3 mL) was added H_2SO_4 (2 mL) cautiously at 0 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. After usual workup and removal of solvent we obtained 5 as crude state. We used this crude 5 for the next cyclization directly without further purification. A mixture of 5 and NaHCO₃ (210 mg, 2.5 mmol) in aq MeOH (3 mL) was maintained at 30-40 °C for 24 h. After usual workup and column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/EtOAc, 1 : 1) we obtained 6 as a white solid, 96 mg (51%). Spectroscopic data of 6 are as follows.

Compound **6**:² 51%; white solid, mp 199-200 °C; IR (KBr) 3143, 3032, 1766, 1712, 1647, 1350 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.64 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.42-7.51 (m, 5H), 7.63 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.68 (br s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 35.10, 124.04, 129.18, 130.27, 130.44, 133.81, 135.30, 170.81, 173.84.

Synthesis of compound 9. To a stirred solution of Baylis-Hillman acetate (468 mg, 2.0 mmol), which was made from benzaldehyde and methyl acryalte, and malononitrile (400 mg, 6.0 mmol) in CH₃CN (7 mL) was added K₂CO₃ (00 mg, 6.0 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. After usual workup and column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/ether, 3 : 1) we obtained 7, 275 mg (61%). To a stirred solution of 7 (240 mg, 1.0 mmol) in MeOH (3 mL) was added H₂SO₄ (2 mL) cautiously at 0 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. After usual workup and column chromatographic purification process (EtOAc) we obtained 8 as a white solid, 163 mg (59%). A mixture of 8 (138 mg, 0.5 mmol) and NaHCO₃ (210 mg, 2.5 mmol) in aq MeOH (3 mL, 1 mL of THF was added for the solubility) was maintained at 30-40 °C for 20 h. After usual workup and column chromatographic purification process (CH2Cl2/ MeOH, 3:1) we obtained 9 as a white solid, 59 mg (48%). Spectroscopic data of 7-9 are as follows.

Compound 7: 61%; clear oil; IR (film) 2256, 1705, 1261 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.22 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 4.49 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.34-7.50 (m, 5H), 8.10 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 21.42, 29.12, 52.60, 112.24, 124.33, 128.69, 129.01, 129.59, 133.69, 146.61, 166.91.

Compound **8**: 59%; white solid, mp 187-189 °C; IR (KBr) 3448, 3309, 3163, 1712, 1670, 1631 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 3.17 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.46 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 5.30 (br s, 2H), 6.58 (br s, 2H), 7.33-7.48 (m, 5H), 7.85 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 28.33, 51.08, 51.36, 127.87, 127.96, 128.76, 128.90, 134.34, 140.72, 167.60, 171.50.

Compound **9**: 48%; white solid, mp 142-143 °C; IR (KBr) 3383, 3201, 1712, 1662 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 300 MHz) δ 2.88 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.48 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (br s, 2H, NH₂), 7.18 (s, 1H), 7.24-7.49 (m, 5H), 7.57 (br s, 1H, NH); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, 75 MHz) δ 26.61, 50.11, 127.73, 128.34, 129.02, 133.83, 134.84, 135.79, 170.07, 170.50, 171.26; ESIMS *m*/z 245.1 (M⁺+H).

References and Notes

- 1. Lee, M. J.; Lee, K. Y.; Kim, J. N. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2005, 26, 477.
- Hong, W. P.; Lim, H. N.; Park, H. W.; Lee, K.-J. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2005, 26, 655.
- For the synthesis and biological activities of glutarimide derivatives, see (a) Gaul, C.; Njardarson, J. T.; Danishefsky, S. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, *125*, 6042. (b) Gaul, C.; Njardarson, J. T.; Shan, D.; Dorn, D. C.; Wu, K.-D.; Tong, W. P.; Huang, X.-Y.;

Moore, M. A. S.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2004**, *126*, 11326. (c) Powell, R. G.; Smith, C. R., Jr.; Weisleder, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1983**, *105*, 3739. (d) Suarez, A. I.; Blanco, Z.; Monache, F. D.; Compagnone, R. S.; Arvelo, F. Nat. Prod. Res. **2004**, *18*, 421. (e) Nakae, K.; Yoshimoto, Y.; Sawa, T.; Homma, Y.; Hamada, M.; Takeuchi, T.; Imoto, M. J. Antibiot. **2000**, *53*, 1130. (f) Urakawa, A.; Otani, T.; Yoshida, K.-I.; Nakayama, M.; Suzukake-Tsuchiya, K.; Hori, M. J. Antibiot. **1993**, *46*, 1827.

- For the synthesis of methylene- or arylideneglutarimide derivatives, see (a) Wanner, M. J.; Koomen, G. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 907. (b) Wanner, M. J.; Koomen, G. J. *Synthesis* **1988**, 325. (c) Wanner, M. J.; Koomen, G. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 1513.
- For the synthesis and reactions of 1,4-diene systems, see (a) Basavaiah, D.; Kumaragurubaran, N.; Sharada, D. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 85. (b) Basavaiah, D.; Kumaragurubaran, N.; Sharada, D. S.; Reddy, R. M. *Tetrahedron* 2001, 57, 8167. (c) Basavaiah, D.; Sharada, D. S.; Kumaragurubaran, N.; Reddy, R. M. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 7135. (d) Grigg, R.; Dorrity, M. J.; Heaney, F.; Malone, J. F.; Rajviroongit, S.; Sridharan, V.; Surendrakumar, S. *Tetrahedron* 1991, 47, 8297. (e) Grigg, R.; Malone, J. F.; Dorrity, M. R. J.; Heaney, F.; Rajviroongit, S.; Sridharan, V.; Surendrakumar, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988, 29, 4323.
- (a) Luxenburger, A. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 3297. (b) Moorthy, J. N.; Singhal, N. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, *70*, 1926.
- The formation of 3a was observed in acidic media directly from 1a. As an example, the reaction of 1a and H₂SO₄ (2 equiv) in 1,2dichloroethane at refluxing temperature for 24 h gave 2a (31%) and 3a (29%).
- 8. During the preparation of 7 from the reaction of malononitrile and the acetate of the Baylis-Hillman adduct, the use of malononitrile in excess amounts (3 equiv) was crucial. Otherwise, the formation of appreciable amounts of the corresponding 2 : 1 adduct between the Baylis-Hillman adduct and malononitrile was observed.