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Mandelic acid derivatives are important synthetic intermediates in organic synthesis for many biologically active compounds. ${ }^{1}$ Recently, asymmetric version of the FriedelCrafts type reaction with ethyl glyoxylate for the synthesis of chiral mandelic esters has been reported. ${ }^{2 a}$ Although various synthetic methods are available for the synthesis of these compounds, ${ }^{2}$ development of another facile preparation method would be beneficial until now.

During the Baylis-Hillman reaction of isatin and its derivatives ${ }^{3}$ we found that isatin derivatives with electron withdrawing substituent at the nitrogen atom, such as $N$-acetylisatin (1a), $N$-propionylisatin (1b), $N$-benzoylisatin (1c) and $N$ tosylisatin (1d), are very labile toward some nucleophiles. The labile properties of N -acetyl- or N -tosylisatin toward nucleophiles such as ammonia, amines, alcohols and hydroxylamine have been reported. ${ }^{4}$ Ring opening reaction by the nucleophile at the $\mathrm{N}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ bond of these compounds can occur easily. ${ }^{4}$ Thus, we presumed that we could prepare the mandelic acid derivatives directly in a one-pot reaction by combining the ring-opening reaction and reduction process.

Isatin derivatives 1a-d could be prepared by the general procedure without difficulty. ${ }^{5}$ As shown in Scheme 1 and in Table 1, $N$-acetylisatin (1a) in various alcoholic solvents in


EWG $=\mathrm{COMe}, \mathrm{COEt}, \mathrm{COPh}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ Tol $-p$
$\mathrm{NuH}=\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{PrOH}$, allyl alcohol, menthol, $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$, pyrrolidine
Scheme 1


Scheme 2
the presence of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (1.3 equiv) gave the corresponding mandelic acid derivatives $\mathbf{2 a}$-d in good yields. We did not aware which step proceeds first, whether the ring opening reaction or the reduction process (Scheme 2). Menthol derivative 2 e was prepared via a two-step procedure. Ring opening reaction of $\mathbf{1 a}$ with (1R, $2 \mathrm{~S}, 5 \mathrm{R}$ )-(-)-menthol, a solid alcohol, in acetonitrile in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ gave the ring-opened intermediate in $52 \%$ yield. This compound was reduced as before to give the desired product 2 e in $82 \%$ yield. In the reduction stage, low diastereoselectity (ca. 20\% de) was observed. For the preparation of $\mathbf{2 f}$, ring opening $\left(\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 54 \%\right)$ was performed before reduction. The reduction of $N$-propionylisatin (1b) was carried out under the similar reaction conditions. For the reduction of $\mathbf{1 c}$ and $\mathbf{1 d}$, however, the yields of $\mathbf{2 h}$ and $\mathbf{2 i}$ were low when the reaction was performed in ethanol solvent. The corresponding 1,2-diol derivatives were formed as side products via further reduction of the ester group. Thus, we prepared $\mathbf{2 h}$ and $\mathbf{2 i}$ via successive two-step procedure as for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 e}$ and $\mathbf{2 f}$. Mandelic amide derivative $\mathbf{2 j}$ was also synthesized by a two-step procedure using pyrrolidine as solvent before reduction.

The reaction procedure is simple as exemplified by the synthesis of ethyl 2-acetamidomandelate (2a): To a stirred solution of $1 \mathbf{1 a}(378 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 5 mL ) was added sodium borohydride $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred at room temperature during 1 h . After usual workup and column chromatographic purification (hexane/ethyl acetate, 2:1) analytically pure $\mathbf{2 a}$ was obtained as an oil, $413 \mathrm{mg}(87 \%){ }^{6}{ }^{6}$

In conclusion, we disclosed a facile synthetic method for the preparation of mandelic acid derivatives from the easily available isatin derivatives.
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Table 1. Synthesis of mandelic acid derivatives 2

| Substrates | Conditions | Products (\%) | Substrates | Conditions | Products (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EtOH <br> $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ <br> (1.3 equiv) <br> $\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ |  | 1a | 1. $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$ (3.0 equiv) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ 54\% <br> 2. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (1.3 equiv) THF, rt, 4 h 82\% |  |
| 1a | MeOH <br> $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ <br> (1.3 equiv) <br> rt, 1 h |  |  | EtOH <br> $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ <br> (1.3 equiv) <br> rt, 1 h |  |
| 1a | ${ }^{\mathrm{PrOH}}$ <br> $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ <br> (1.3 equiv) <br> rt, 1 h |  |  | 1. EtOH <br> $\mathrm{rt}, 9 \mathrm{~h}$ 91\% <br> 2. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (2.0 equiv) THF, rt 10 h, 83\% |  <br> 2h (76) |
| 1a | allyl alcohol $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ <br> (1.3 equiv) <br> rt, 1 h |  <br> 2d (85) |  <br> 1d | 1. EtOH <br> $\mathrm{rt}, 40 \mathrm{~h}$ $77 \%$ <br> 2. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (1.3 equiv) $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{rt}$ 1 h, $91 \%$ |  |
| 1a | 1. menthol (1.0 equiv) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$ 52\% <br> 2. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (1.3 equiv) THF, rt, 9 h 82\% |  | 1a | 1. pyrrolidine rt, 1 h 91\% <br> 2. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 1.3 equiv) THF, rt, 1 h 89\% |  |

${ }^{a}$ Diastereomeric mixture ( $20 \%$ de based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR).
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5. Isatin derivatives 1a-d were prepared from isatin as follows: $N$ acetylisatin (1a) with acetic anhydride $\left(80-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%\right) ; \mathrm{N}$ propionylisatin (1b) with propionyl chloride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, pyridine, rt , $2 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$ ); $N$-benzoylisatin (1c) with benzoic anhydride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 80 \%$ ); $N$-tosylisatin (1d) with $p$-toluenesulfonyl
chloride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 50 \%\right)$.
6. Selected spectroscopic data. 2a: oil; IR (KBr) 3455, 1735, 1671 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.07-4.20 (m, 2H), $4.97(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{NH}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.90,24.18,62.09,72.68,123.77,124.72,128.33$, $129.09,129.21,136.17,169.26,172.66$; Mass $(70 \mathrm{eV}) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel. intensity) 43 (20), 93 (18), 122 (100), 149 (14), 163 (15), 237 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 14). 2b: white solid, mp $144-146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.16$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 24.39,53.29,72.80,124.17,124.90,127.66$, 129.33, 129.68, 136.29, 168.84, 173.47. 2c: oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03$ (heptet, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{br}$ $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 21.30,21.51,24.27,70.32,72.68$, 123.70, 124.55, 128.08, 128.92, 129.19, 136.15, 168.82, 172.35. 2d: oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65$ (br s, 1H), 5.12-5.19 (m, 2H), $5.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70-5.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 24.22,66.30,72.74,118.96,123.66,124.65$, $127.91,129.12,129.30,130.92,136.19,169.14,172.24$. 2h: oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-$ $4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 9.36(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 13.98,62.70,73.09$, $123.48,124.62,127.17,127.38,128.77,129.12,129.62,131.90$, 134.50, 136.67, 165.46, 172.86.

