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Coordination chemistry of nitrate $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right)$and triflate $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}^{-}\right)$anions has received considerable and widespread attractions because of various bonding modes and counteranion characteristics in their metal compounds. ${ }^{1}$ A number of studies on the preparation, structure, and property have been reported for the metal-nitrate $\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)$ and metaltriflate $\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ complexes, but few studies have been reported for the $\left[\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{ONO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{OSO}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)\right]$-type complexes that possess both anionic ligands. ${ }^{2,3}$

Recently, we reported the preparation and structure of $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{OTf})\right]\left(\mathrm{Cp} *=\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5} ; \mathrm{OTf}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right)(\mathbf{1})$ prepared from $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right]$ and AgOTf by substitution, which possesses two labile ligands $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right.$and $\mathrm{OTf}^{-}$) in a single rhodium center. ${ }^{4}$ In order to investigate the reactivity of compound $\mathbf{1}$ toward organic thiols and cyanate, we treated 1 with thiophenol $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{SH}\right)$, 2-methyl-1propanethiol $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}\right)$, 2-propene-1-thiol $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}$ ), and trimethylsilyl isocyanate ( $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiNCO}$ ). Herein, we report the preparation and structures of $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}(\mu$ $\left.\mathrm{SR})_{3} \mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}\right](\mathrm{OTf})\{\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$ (2); $i$-Bu (3); allyl (4) $\}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \operatorname{Rh}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{NCO})\right]_{2}(\mathbf{5})$.

## Experimental Section

Unless otherwise stated, all reactions have been performed with standard Schlenk line and cannula techniques under argon at room temperature. $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{OTf})\right](\mathbf{1}),\left(\eta^{2}-\right.$ nitrato)(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(trifluoromethanesulfonato)rhodium(III), was prepared by the literature method. ${ }^{4}$ All products were prepared in a similar way and recrystallized from either dichloromethane-pentane or dichloromethanehexane.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR spectra were recorded with a Varian Unity Inova 500 MHz spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded with a Nicolet Avatar 320 FTIR spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were performed by the Korea Basic Science Institute.

Preparation of $\left[\mathbf{C p} * \mathbf{R h}(\mu \text {-SPh })_{3} \mathbf{C p} * \mathbf{R h}\right](\mathbf{O T f})$ (2). To an orange compound $\mathbf{1}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 20 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added neat thiophenol $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{SH}, 0.069 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.66\right.$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h , and then

[^0]the solvent was removed under vacuum. The resultant red solids were washed with hexane $(10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$ and diethyl ether ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2$ ), and then the solvent was removed under vacuum to give orange-red crystals of 2. $(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.097$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.81-7.33(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{SPh})$, $1.33\left(30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} M e_{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 133.5-$ $129.0(P h), 98.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}}=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 8.89\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} M e_{5}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(M_{\mathrm{r}}=952.81\right)$ : C, 49.16; H, 4.76; S, 13.46. Found: C, 49.26; H, 4.83; S, 13.29. mp: $280-282^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec). IR (KBr): 3055, 2963, 2915, 1632, 1575, 1472, 1441, 1381, 1270, 1146, 1027, $636 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
Preparation of $\left[\mathbf{C p} * \mathbf{R h}(\mu-S-i-B u)_{3} \mathbf{C p} * R h\right](O T f)$ (3). Compound 1 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-methyl-1-propanethiol $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}, 0.072 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ were stirred to give orange-red crystals of $\mathbf{3}$. ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.28\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)$, $1.73\left(30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 1.70\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right), 1.12(18 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\left.J_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe} 2\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $98.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}}=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 42.0\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right)$, $32.2\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}_{2}\right), 22.5\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe} 2\right), 9.7\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} M e_{5}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ ( $M_{\mathrm{r}}=977.77$ ): C, 41.76; H, 6.08; S, 13.12. Found: C, 42.28; H, 6.20; S, 13.21. mp: $236-238^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR (KBr): 2960, 2924, 2870, 1635, 1461, 1380, 1271, 1226, 1148, 1074, 1029, $638 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
Preparation of $\left[\mathbf{C p} * \mathbf{R h}(\mu \text {-S-allyl })_{3} \mathbf{C p} * \mathrm{Rh}\right]$ (OTf) (4). Compound 1 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-propene-1-thiol $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{SH}, 0.056 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ were stirred to give orange-red crystals of $4 .(77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.37\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 1.74$ $\left(30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 1.69\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 1.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH} H_{2}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 97.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}}=\right.$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ), $34.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 27.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 13.94 (s, $\mathrm{SCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ), 9.50 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}\left(M_{\mathrm{r}}=844.72\right)$ : $\mathrm{C}, 42.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.37 ; \mathrm{S}$, 15.18. Found: C, 42.48 ; H, 5.24 ; S, $15.08 . \mathrm{mp}: 276-278{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). IR (KBr): 2965, 2924, 2873, 1630, 1459, 1381, 1269, 1222, 1146, 1084, 1026, $636 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Preparation of $\left[\mathbf{C p *} \mathbf{R h}\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{1}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)(\mu \text {-NCO) }]_{2}\right.$ (5). Compound 1 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trimethylsilyl isocyanate ( $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiNCO}, 0.089 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were stirred to give orange-red crystals of 5 . ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 0.097 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.62\left(30 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} M e_{5}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 93.94\left(\mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}}=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, C_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right), 8.70\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Rh}_{2}\left(M_{\mathrm{r}}=684.32\right)$ : C, 38.61 ; H ,

Table 1. X-ray data collection and structure refinement

|  | 2 | 3. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Rh}_{2}$ |
| fw | 952.81 | 977.77 | 844.72 | 684.32 |
| temperature, K | 293(2) | 295(2) | 293(2) | 293(2) |
| crystal system | triclinic | monoclinic | triclinic | orthorhombic |
| space group | $P \overline{1}$ | $P 21 / c$ | $P \overline{1}$ | Pbca |
| a, $\AA$ | 11.622(2) | 11.076(2) | 10.906(3) | 14.497(3) |
| $b, \AA$ | 12.473(3) | 19.427(3) | 12.467(3) | 12.379(3) |
| $c, \AA$ | 16.321(4) | 20.630(3) | 14.276(3) | 14.677(4) |
| $\alpha$, deg | 78.80(1) |  | 102.13(1) |  |
| $\beta$, deg | 74.36(5) | 98.07(1) | 98.44(2) |  |
| $\gamma, \operatorname{deg}$ | 62.64(2) |  | 97.36(2) |  |
| $\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$ | 2016.5(8) | 4395(1) | 1851.6(8) | 2634(1) |
| Z | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| $d_{\text {cal }}, \mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.569 | 1.478 | 1.515 | 1.726 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 1.075 | 1.105 | 1.159 | 1.305 |
| $F(000)$ | 968 | 2008 | 860 | 1376 |
| $T_{\text {min }}$ | 0.5232 | 0.6775 | 0.6612 | 0.2378 |
| $T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.5578 | 0.9072 | 0.9017 | 0.2694 |
| $2 \theta$ range ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 3.5-50 | 3.5-50 | 3.5-50 | 3.5-50 |
| scan type | $\omega$ | $\omega$ | $\omega$ | $\omega$ |
| scan speed | variable | variable | variable | variable |
| No. of reflns measured | 7316 | 7597 | 6732 | 2326 |
| No. of reflns unique | 6943 | 7590 | 6371 | 2326 |
| No. of reflns with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ | 3890 | 5790 | 4197 | 1401 |
| No. of params refined | 489 | 461 | 407 | 164 |
| Max., in $\Delta \rho\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 0.603 | 0.543 | 0.578 | 0.510 |
| Min., in $\Delta \rho\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | -0.744 | -0.499 | -0.510 | -0.493 |
| GOF on $F^{2}$ | 1.008 | 1.017 | 1.045 | 0.966 |
| $R$ | 0.0680 | 0.0533 | 0.0541 | 0.0475 |
| $w R_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.1267 | 0.1225 | 0.1316 | 0.0924 |

$\overline{a_{w}} R_{2}=\Sigma\left[w\left(F_{o}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] / \Sigma\left[w\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$.
4.42; N, 8.19. Found: C, 38.53; H, 4.34; N, 8.12. mp: 228$230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). IR (KBr): 2964, 2919, 2167, 1631, 1460, $1383,1279,1161,1084,1022,804,643 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
X-ray structure determination. All X-ray data were collected with a Siemens P4 diffractometer equipped with a Mo X-ray tube. Details on crystal data and intensity data are shown in Table 1. Intensity data were empirically corrected for absorption with $\psi$-scan data. All calculations were carried out with the use of SHELXTL programs. ${ }^{5}$

An orange-red crystal of 2, shaped as a block of approximate dimensions $0.16 \times 0.14 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$, was used for crystal- and intensity-data collection. The unit-cell parameters suggested a triclinic lattice, and successful structural convergence was obtained in the centrosymmetric space group $P \overline{1}$. An orange-red crystal of $\mathbf{3}$, shaped as a block of approximate dimensions $0.30 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \mathrm{~mm}$, was used. The unit-cell parameters and systematic absences, $h 0 l(l=2 n+1)$ and $0 k 0$ $(k=2 n+1)$, unambiguously indicated $P 2_{1} / c$ as a space group. An orange-red crystal of 4, shaped as a block of approximate dimensions $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.16 \mathrm{~mm}$, was used. The unit-cell parameters suggested a triclinic lattice, and successful structural convergence was obtained in the
centrosymmetric space group $P \overline{1}$. An orange-red crystal of 5, shaped as a block of approximate dimensions $0.12 \times 0.10$ $\times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$, was used. The unit-cell parameters and systematic absences, $0 k l(k=2 n+1), h 0 l(l=2 n+1)$, and $h k 0(h=2 n+$ 1), unambiguously indicated $P b c a$ as a space group. All structures were solved by direct methods. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were generated in ideal positions and refined in a riding model. The sulfur atoms of the thiolato ligands in 2-4 exhibited a structural disorder, and the best fit was obtained by considering those atoms to be distributed over two positions with the site occupation factor of 0.63:0.37 (for 2), 0.67:0.33 (for 3), or 0.67:0.33 (for 4). Selected bond lengths and bond angles are shown in Table 2.

## Results and Discussion

Preparation. All products are air-stable both in solution and in the solid state. Each compound is an orange-red crystal and has been fully characterized by spectroscopy $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-,{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\right.$-NMR, and IR), elemental analysis, and X-ray diffraction.

Table 2. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
Compound 2

| Rh1-S1 | $2.410(4)$ | Rh1-S2 | $2.373(4)$ | Rh1-S3 | $2.447(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rh2-S1 | $2.354(4)$ | Rh2-S2 | $2.375(4)$ | Rh2-S3 | $2.419(4)$ |
| Rh1-S1-Rh2 | $86.0(1)$ | Rh1-S2-Rh2 | $86.3(1)$ | Rh1-S3-Rh2 | $83.8(1)$ |
| S1-Rh1-S2 | $79.1(1)$ | S1-Rh1-S3 | $78.7(1)$ | S2-Rh1-S3 | $77.9(1)$ |
| S1-Rh2-S2 | $80.2(1)$ | S1-Rh2-S3 | $80.3(1)$ | S2-Rh2-S3 | $78.4(1)$ |
| Compound 3 |  |  |  |  |  |

Rh1-S1 2.398(2) Rh1-S2 2.406(3) Rh1-S3 2.396(3)

Rh2-S1 2.388(2) Rh2-S2 2.368(2) Rh2-S3 2.362(3)
Rh1-S1-Rh2 85.42(8) Rh1-S2-Rh2 85.67(8) Rh1-S3-Rh2 86.05(8)
S1-Rh1-S2 78.42(8) S1-Rh1-S3 78.15(9) S2-Rh1-S3 78.26(8)
S1-Rh2-S2 79.35(9) S1-Rh2-S3 79.01(9) S2-Rh2-S3 79.70(9)
Compound 4

| Rh1-S1 | $2.386(3)$ | Rh1-S2 | $2.401(2)$ | Rh1-S3 | $2.375(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rh2-S1 | $2.385(3)$ | Rh2-S2 | $2.384(3)$ | Rh2-S3 | $2.361(3)$ |

Rh1-S1-Rh2 85.73(9) Rh1-S2-Rh2 85.44(8) Rh1-S3-Rh2 86.53(9)
S1-Rh1-S2 77.57(9) S1-Rh1-S3 79.4(1) S2-Rh1-S3 78.41(9)
S1-Rh2-S2 77.91(9) S1-Rh2-S3 79.7(1) S2-Rh2-S3 79.00(9)
Compound 5

| Rh1-N2 | $2.172(6)$ | Rh1-O1 | $2.151(5)$ | N2-C11 | $1.161(9)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{O} 4$ | $1.177(9)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $1.296(8)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $1.221(8)$ |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 4 & 1.177(9) & \mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 1 \quad 1.296(8) & \mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{N} 1 & 1.221(8)\end{array}$
O3-N1 1.247(9)
O1-Rh1-N2 80.9(2) N1-O1-Rh1 120.5(5) N2-C11-O4 179(1)

| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | $119.1(8)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $118.4(7)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{O} 3$ | $122.5(8)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

An 18-electron, mononuclear compound $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\right.$ (OTf)] (1) reacts with excess (three equivalents) organic thiols to give triply thiolato-bridged, ionic, dinuclear rhodium(III) compounds of the type $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}(\mu-\mathrm{SR})_{3} \mathrm{Cp}^{*}\right.$ $\mathrm{Rh}](\mathrm{OTf})\{\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}(\mathbf{2}) ; i$-Bu (3); allyl (4) $\}$ (eq 1). The nitrato and triflate ligands have been replaced by the thiolates during the reaction, suggesting their labile character. The types of the thiol employed in this study are aryl ( Ph ), alkyl ( $i$ - Bu ), and allyl $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)$. The ability of thiolates to act as bridging ligands is well established, and many bimetallic compounds containing two bridging thiolato ligands have been reported. ${ }^{6,7}$ However, the rhodium compounds containing three bridging thiolato ligands are rare. ${ }^{8-11}$ Furthermore, the methylthiolato (SMe) and perfluorobenzenethiolato $\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{H}-p\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$ compounds of $\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}$ (III) or $\mathrm{Cp} * \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ were previously prepared from $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{MCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Rh}$ or Ir$)$ and main-group metal thiolates $\left\{\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{SR}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)_{2}\right.$ or NaSMe $\}$. ${ }^{9-11}$ By contrast, our reaction system proceeds with simple organic thiols.


The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$-NMR spectra of compounds 2-4 display the correct number of peaks, splittings, and intensities associated with the two components: $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ ligands and
thiolato ligands. In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of these compounds, the $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ methyl protons appear as a singlet at $\delta 1.33-1.74$ ppm , probably due to the symmetrically bridging mode of the thiolato ligands. It is difficult to relate the magnitude of $J_{\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{C}}$ to the substitutent on the thiolato ligand ( $i-\mathrm{Bu}>\mathrm{Ph} \approx$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ).

We prepared a doubly cyanato-bridged dinuclear $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{III})$ compound, $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{NCO})\right]_{2}$ (5), by treating compound 1 with excess (three equivalents) trimethylsilyl isocyanate (eq 2). In this reaction, the incoming $\mathrm{NCO}^{-}$ appears to have replaced the $\mathrm{OTf}^{-}$ligand, followed by the $\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ transformation.


In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of $\mathbf{5}$, the $\mathrm{Cp} *$ methyl protons appear as a singlet at $\delta 1.62 \mathrm{ppm}$, probably due to the symmetrically bridging mode of the cyanato ligands. The strong IR band at $2167 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, assigned to the CN bond, is consistent with those found for cyanato-bridged dinuclear Rh (III) compounds. ${ }^{12}$

Structure. The molecular structures of compounds 2-4 are shown in Figures 1-3, respectively. Compounds 2-4 are isostructural, and each one has three $\mu_{2}$-thiolato ligands and two $\mathrm{Cp} *$ ligands. The coordination sphere of each rhodium can be described as a distorted octahedron, if the coordination number of the $\mathrm{Cp}^{*}$ ligand is taken to be three. In addition, the $\mathrm{Cp} *$ ligands coordinate to the rhodium metals in an eclipsed conformation. The $\mathrm{Rh} \cdots \mathrm{Rh}$ distances are in the range of $3.246(1)-3.248(1) \AA$, the Rh-S-Rh bond angles are in the range of $85.4(\mathrm{av})-.85.9^{\circ}(\mathrm{av}$.$) , and the \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{S}$ bond angles are in the range of 78.7 (av.)- $79.1^{\circ}$ (av.). These bonding


Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of 2, showing the atom-labeling scheme and $50 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids.


Figure 2. $O R T E P$ drawing of 3.


Figure 3. ORTEP drawing of 4.
parameters are similar to those found in the closely related compounds, $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}(\mu-\mathrm{SMe})_{3} \mathrm{RhCp} *\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{~W}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{9}\right]$ and $[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}-$ $\left.\left(\mu-\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{RhCp}^{*}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}\right] .{ }^{9-11}$

The molecular structure of compound $\mathbf{5}$ is shown in Figure 4. Compound $\mathbf{5}$ has two bridging cyanato and two terminal nitrato ligands. The coordination sphere of each rhodium can be described as a distorted octahedron. The two Cp* ligands are trans to each other and staggered. The cyanato ligands are essentially linear with the N-C-O bond angle of $179(1)^{\circ}$. The Rh $\cdots \mathrm{Rh}$ distance in compound $\mathbf{5}$ is $3.353(1) \AA$.

In summary, we have prepared three triply thiolato-bridged dirhodium compounds of the type $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}(\mu-\mathrm{SR})_{3} \mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}\right]$ (OTf) $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph} ; i$ - Bu ; allyl) and one doubly cyanato-bridged dirhodium compound, $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \operatorname{Rh}\left(\eta^{1}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)(\mu-\mathrm{NCO})\right]_{2}$, by treating $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{Rh}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{OTf})\right]$ with the corresponding organic thiols and cyanate. These results suggest that the nitrato and triflate ligands in compound $\mathbf{1}$ are labile enough to be readily


Figure 4. $O R T E P$ drawing of 5.
replaced by organic thiols and cyanate. A further study on the reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ is under progress.

Supplementary material. Crystallographic data for the structural analysis have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, CCDC No. 191154 for 2, 191155 for $\mathbf{3} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 191156$ for $\mathbf{4}$, and 199516 for 5. Copies of this information may be obtained free of charge from: The director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: +44-1223-336-033; E-mail: deposit@ ccdc.cam.ac.uk or www: http://www.ccdc. cam.ac.uk).
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