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The luminescent cyclometalated $\operatorname{Ir}($ III $)$ complexes have received great interest in the development of organic light emitting diodes. ${ }^{1,2}$ Many bis- and tris-cyclometalated complexes of $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ with relatively high quantum efficiency have been synthesized and characterized. ${ }^{3-8}$ The color of phosphorescent complexes used in light emitting devices are tuned by the variation of both cyclometalating and ancillary ligands. The purpose of the present study is the molecular design of a highly efficient phenylisoquinoline-based redphosphorescent complex suitable for red organic light emitting diodes devices. Herein, we report the synthesis, electroluminescent properties and crystal structure of the phenylisoquinoline-based iridium complex, bis(1-( $3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}-$ difluorophenyl)isoquinolinato- $\left.C^{2^{\prime}}, N\right)(1-$ methylimidazole-2-carboxylato- $\left.N^{3}, O\right) \operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$.
The title compound is a hydrated, neutral mononuclear complex. Bond lengths and angles for the coordination of Ir are summarized in Table 1. The Ir atom has a distorted octahedral geometry involving two difluorophenylisoquinoline ligands and a 1-methylimidazole-2-carboxylate ligand with trans-N1-N2 disposition. The $\operatorname{Ir}-\mathrm{N} 1(2.025(6) \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Ir}-$ $\mathrm{N} 2(2.055(6) \AA)$ bond lengths are shorter than the Ir-N3 bond length of $2.121(6) \AA$. Similar observations were made concerning bond lengths in mer-bis[2-(6-fluoro-3-methyl-quinoxalin-2-yl- $\kappa N^{1}$ )-phenyl] [3-phenyl-5-(2-pyridyl- $\kappa N$ )-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl]-iridium. ${ }^{9}$ The three trans angles are in the range of $170.4(2)-177.6(3)^{\circ}$. The three five-membered, chelating rings are nearly planar and orthogonal to each

Table 1. Geometric parameters of $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ octahedron $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$

| Ir-C15 | $1.992(7)$ | $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $2.055(6)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Ir-C30 | $2.007(8)$ | $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $2.121(6)$ |
| Ir-N1 | $2.024(6)$ | $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{O} 1$ | $2.181(5)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| C15-Ir-C30 | $91.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $88.4(2)$ |
| C15-Ir-N1 | $78.6(3)$ | N2-Ir-N3 | $94.0(2)$ |
| C30-Ir-N1 | $98.3(3)$ | C15-Ir-O1 | $170.4(2)$ |
| C15-Ir-N2 | $101.6(3)$ | C30-Ir-O1 | $96.4(3)$ |
| C30-Ir-N2 | $79.3(3)$ | N1-Ir-O1 | $95.1(2)$ |
| N1-Ir-N2 | $177.6(3)$ | N2-Ir-O1 | $85.1(2)$ |
| C15-Ir-N3 | $95.5(3)$ | N3-Ir-O1 | $77.1(2)$ |
| C30-Ir-N3 | $171.0(3)$ |  |  |

other; the r.m.s. deviations are $0.040,0.075$ and $0.025 \AA$ for the rings involving $\operatorname{Ir} / \mathrm{N} 1 / \mathrm{C} 15($ ring A$), \mathrm{Ir} / \mathrm{N} 2 / \mathrm{C} 30(\mathrm{~B})$ and $\mathrm{Ir} /$ $\mathrm{N} 3 / \mathrm{O} 1(\mathrm{C})$, respectively, and the angles between rings are $86.5(2)(\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}), 78.7(2)(\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C})$ and $89.0(2)^{\circ}(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{A})$, respectively. Within difluorophenylisoquinoline ligands, the difluorophenyl rings are inclined with respect to the isoquinoline ring systems, based on N 1 and N 2 , by $14.5(2)$ and $30.3(2)^{\circ}$, respectively.

The crystal structure is stabilized by strong aromatic $\pi-\pi$ stacking associations formed between adjacent isoquinoline[N2, C16-C24] rings from two centrosymmetric related molecules. The short $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ contact includes $\mathrm{C} 21 \cdots \mathrm{C} 21(-\mathrm{x}$, $-\mathrm{y},-\mathrm{z}+1)=3.315(12)$ and $\mathrm{C} 17 \cdots \mathrm{C} 27(-\mathrm{x}-1,-\mathrm{y},-\mathrm{z}+1)=$ $3.470(14) \AA$. Furthermore, there are cyclic, eight-membered, hydrogen-bonded rings involving two water molecules. The oxygen atom of the water molecule donates two hydrogen bonds of $\mathrm{O} 2 \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{w}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2(-\mathrm{x},-\mathrm{y}-1,-\mathrm{z}+1)$. Two


Figure 1. Molecular structure of 1, with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50\% probability level.


Figure 2. The packing diagram viewed down $a$ axis showing the $\pi$ $\pi$ interactions and hydrogen-bonded rings on $\mathbf{1}$. The dotted lines indicate hydrogen bonds.


Figure 3. UV-vis and photoluminescence spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solution.
hydrogen bond lengths are $3.023(13)$ and $2.997(13) \AA$. The O 2 atom accepts two hydrogen bonds from the two water molecules. Therefore, the hydrogen bonded ring makes a centrosymmetric molecular dimer (see Figure 2).
The UV-vis absorption and photoluminescence spectra of 1 were investigated in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solution (Figure 3). The UVvis spectrum of the complex shows a metal-to-ligand charge-transfer band at 453 nm , in addition to the $\pi-\pi^{*}$ transition at 290 and 340 nm . The complex exhibits a strong emission band with vibrational structure at around 592 nm . The emission should probably be assigned to the $\pi-\pi^{*}$
charge-transfer interaction. This observed emission wavelength of 592 nm is in range of those of other phenyl-isoquinoline-based red-phosphorescent complexes ${ }^{6}$ of 586 to 732 nm . Thus, this compound may be a candidate for redlight luminescent materials.

## Experimental Section

The ligand, 1-(3,5-difluorophenyl)isoquinoline, was prepared from the reaction of 1-chloroisoquinoline with 3,5difluorophenyl boronic acid in THF and water in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. After that, $\left(\mathrm{piqF}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Ir}-$ $\left(\mathrm{piqF}_{2}\right)_{2}$ (piq:phenylisoquinoline) was prepared by the reactions of $\mathrm{IrCl}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with 1-(3,5-difluorophenyl)isoquinoline ligands as previously reported in the literature. ${ }^{10}$ The reaction of $\left(\text { piqF }_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{piqF}_{2}\right)_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.416 \mathrm{~g})$ and 1-methylimidazole-2-carboxylic acid lithium salt (2.5 $\mathrm{mmol}, 330 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was proceeded in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solvent at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hrs . After reaction completion, the mixture was poured into water and then extracted with chloroform $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried with anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to 10 mL . Column chromatography was carried out to isolate the major component using hexane + ethyl acetate ( $10: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as eluents. The red solution was collected and dried under vacuum, to afford a red powder of $58 \%$ yield ( 925 mg ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Brucker spectrometer, $\left.300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ : $8.90[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}], 8.67[\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}]$, 8.02-7.91 [m, 4H], $7.77[\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}], 7.63[\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}], 7.52[\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}]$, $7.37[\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}], 6.85[\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}], 6.41[\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}], 6.39-6.26[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}]$, $4.01[\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}]$. Anal. Calcd for $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right]$. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 51.53 \mathrm{H}, 2.84 \mathrm{~N}, 6.87 \%$, found: C, $51.71 \mathrm{H}, 2.79 \mathrm{~N}$, 6.91\%.

Single crystals, suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis, were grown by layer diffusion of methanol into the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of 1. X-ray data were collected using a Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer with Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ graphite monochromated radiation at room temperature. The structure was solved by direct methods and the refinements were carried out with full-matrix least-squares methods. Water H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined with a DFIX ${ }^{11}$ restraint of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=0.88(1) \AA$ and with $\operatorname{Uiso}(\mathrm{H})=$ $1.5 \mathrm{Ueq}(\mathrm{O})$. The remaining H atoms were placed in idealized positions and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93$ and $0.96 \AA$ for aromatic and methyl H, respectively, and with $\operatorname{Uiso}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 \mathrm{Ueq}(\mathrm{C})$ for aromatic and Uiso $(\mathrm{H})=1.5 \mathrm{Ueq}(\mathrm{C})$ for methyl H atoms. The following programs are used: Data collection: CAD-4-PC software, ${ }^{12}$



Scheme 1

Table 2. Summary of crystal data of $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{III})$ complex

## Crystal data

| $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{V}=1451.4(6) \AA^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Mr}=815.77$ | $\mathrm{Z}=2$ |
| Triclinic, $\mathrm{P}(-1)$ | $\mathrm{Dx}=1.867 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ |
| $\mathrm{a}=8.623(3) \AA$ | Mo K $\alpha$ radiation |
| $\mathrm{b}=9.485(2) \AA$ | $\mu=4.67 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{c}=18.402(3) \AA$ | $\mathrm{T}=293(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| $\alpha=87.41(2)^{\circ}$ | Plate, red |
| $\beta=88.18(2)^{\circ}$ | $0.14 \times 0.13 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\gamma=74.92(2)^{\circ}$ |  |

## Data collection

Nonius-CAD4 diffractometer $\omega$ scan
Absorption correction: $\Psi$ scan $^{15}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\text {min }}=0.876, \mathrm{~T}_{\text {max }}=0.999$
9793 measured reflections
5099 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atoms treated by a mixture of
$\mathrm{R}\left[\mathrm{F}^{2}>2 \sigma\left(\mathrm{~F}^{2}\right)\right]=0.042$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.106$
$\mathrm{S}=1.05$
5099 reflections
430 parameters
independent and constrained refinement
4182 reflections with $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.043$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.0^{\circ}$
3 standard reflections every 60 min .
intensity decay: 3.6\%
$\mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}\right)+(0.0675 \mathrm{P})^{2}\right]$
where $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{Fo}^{2}+2 \mathrm{Fc}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.029$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=2.38$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-2.97$ e $\AA^{-3}$
Extinction correction: none

Cell refinement: SET4 ${ }^{12}$ and CELDIM, ${ }^{12}$ Data reduction: WinGX, ${ }^{13}$ Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS$97,{ }^{11}$ Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL-97, ${ }^{11}$ Molecular graphics: ORTEP3. ${ }^{14}$ The crystal data and refinements were summarized in Table 2. Crystallographic data for $\mathbf{1}$ have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallo-
graphic Data Centre (Deposition number CCDC 653772). The data can be obtained free of charge via http:// ccdc.cam.ac.uk./perl/catreq/catreq.cgi (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033; email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

UV-vis and photoluminescence spectra were measured by UV spectrometer (Reiken Co.) and by the photoluminescence spectrometer (PSSI Co.) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solution, respectively.
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