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Seven-membered heterocycles with two heteroatoms in a 1,4-relationship are known to possess many biological activities. Particularly, aryl-annelated [1,4]diazepine and [ 1,4 ]oxazepine are crucial moieties in many psychoactive pharmaceuticals. ${ }^{1,2}$ 6-Benzylidene-oxazepane-5,7-dione is known as a valuable chiral intermediate. ${ }^{3}$ Arylmethylene benzodiazepinones have been used for the synthesis of pesticidal pyrazolobenzodiazepines and thiazinobenzodiazepines. ${ }^{4}$ Besides of these papers, numerous reports have been reported regarding the synthesis or biological activity of benzodiazepines ${ }^{1}$ or dibenzodiazepines. ${ }^{2}$ Recently, Reiser et al. have reported combinatorial liquid-phase synthesis of [1,4]oxazepin-7-ones via the Baylis-Hillman reaction. ${ }^{5}$

In these respects, we intended to prepare some 3-(aryl-methylene)-1,5-benzodiazepin-2-one derivatives from the Baylis-Hillman acetates. The reaction of the Baylis-Hillman acetate 1a and 1,2-phenylenediamine (2) in acetonitrile in the presence of potassium carbonate gave the allylic substitution product $3 \mathbf{a}^{6}$ (Scheme 1). The $E$ and $Z$-form of 3a could be separated easily. Heating of pure $\mathbf{3 a}-E$ in acetic acid afforded a mixture of $\mathbf{4 a ^ { 6 }}$ and $\mathbf{5 a} \mathbf{a}^{6}$ (Scheme 2). The yield of desired 3-(benzylidene)-1,5-benzodiazepin-2-one (4a) was moderate ( $36 \%$ ). Instead, the benzimidazole-substituted compound 5a was isolated in $34 \%$ yield.

To improve the yield of the desired benzodiazepine
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derivative 4a, we examined other carboxylic acid solvent such as propionic acid, formic acid and trifluoroacetic acid as shown in Table 1. However, we could not improve the yield of $\mathbf{4 a}$. In all cases, except for formic acid, differently substituted benzimidazole-substituted derivatives, $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$, were isolated in variable yields. It is interesting to note that the use of formic acid gave neither the corresponding benzodiazepine nor benzimidazole derivatives. Instead, di-

Table 1. Synthesis of 3-benzylidene-1,5-benzodiazepin-2-one derivatives

formyl derivative 6 was formed in good yield. In formic acid N -formylation proceeded easily at the two nitrogen atoms, thus preventing the next cyclization toward benzodiazepine or benzimidazole.

The reaction of acetic acid and Z-form of 3a gave the benzimidazole derivative $\mathbf{5 d}$ as the sole product ( $66 \%$, entry 5). We could not isolate the corresponding benzodiazepine compound $\mathbf{4 b}$ at all. We could not explain the reason at this stage. The reaction of $\mathbf{3 b}-E$ in acetic acid or in propionic acid gave the similar results (entries 6 and 7).

Improved synthesis of benzodiazepine derivative 4a was finally carried out by using 1,3-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) method for the amide bond formation. Hydrolysis of 3a- $E$ with sodium hydroxide gave the corresponding acid derivative in $97 \%$ yield. Formation of the amide bond by using DCC in THF (rt, 3h) afforded $\mathbf{4 a}$ in $83 \%$ yield (Scheme 3).
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