SENSOFS ISSN 1424-8220 © 2002 by MDPI http://www.mdpi.net/sensors

# Natural Monocrystalline Pyrite as Sensor for Potentiometric Redox Titrations. Part I. Titrations with Permanganate

M.M. Antonijevic<sup>1\*</sup>, R.P. Mihajlovic<sup>2</sup> and B.V. Vukanovic<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Technical Faculty Bor, University of Belgrade, P.O.Box 50, 19210 Bor, Yugoslavia

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, 34000 Kragujevac, Yugoslavia

\* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: mantonijevic@tf.bor.ac.yu

Received: 9 April 2002 / Accepted: 23 April 2002 / Published: 30 April 2002

**Abstract:** Results obtained in potentiometric titrations of Fe(II), Mn(II), Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>4-</sup>, C<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and As(III) with standard potassium permanganate solution, are presented. The titration end point (TEP) was detected with a universal electrode whose sensor is natural crystalline pyrite. The titrations of As(III) were carried out in HCl (1.2 M) and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solutions (0.1-4.5 M), whereas oxalate was determined in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.1-4.5 M). Iron(II) and hexacyanoferrate(II) were titrated in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and also in H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> solutions (0.1-4.5 M). The titrations of Mn(II) were performed in H<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub><sup>2-</sup> media at pH 4.0, 5.0, 6.0 and 7.0. The results obtained by using the pyrite electrode were compared with those obtained by the application of a Pt-electrode, and good agreement, reproducibility and accuracy were obtained. The potential changes at the TEP ranged from 90 to 330 mV/0.1 mL, depending on the titrated system. The highest changes were observed in titrations of Fe(II) in H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (240-330 mV/0.1 mL). Reversed titrations were also performed and accurate and reproducible results were obtained.

Keywords: Pyrite, Sensor, Permanganate, Potentiometry, Reductants

# Introduction

Mn(II) can be determined in several ways: iodometrically<sup>1</sup>, by differential stripping voltammetry<sup>2</sup>, polarographically<sup>3</sup>, fluorometrically<sup>4</sup>, amperometrically<sup>5</sup>, by indirect titration with EDTA<sup>6</sup>,

sprectrophotometrically<sup>7,8</sup>, by the potentiometric titration with Mn(VII) in pyrophosphate media<sup>9</sup> as well as by potentiometric titration with polyamine ligands in acetonitrile<sup>10</sup>.

Fe(II) can be determined by volumetric titration with cerium(IV) using ferroin as indicator<sup>11</sup>, by liquid chromatography<sup>12</sup>, photometrically<sup>13,14</sup>, polarographically<sup>15</sup>, ferrocenometrically<sup>16</sup>, by using XeF<sub>2</sub> as a standard titrating solution<sup>17</sup> as well as potentiometrically<sup>10,18-22</sup>.

Hexacyanoferrate(II) can be determined by infrared spectroscopy<sup>23</sup>, or amperometrically<sup>24,25</sup>, coulometrically<sup>26,27</sup> as well as potentiometrically<sup>28-32</sup>.

Arsenic(III) can be determined potentiometrically and by visual titration with bromoamine<sup>28</sup>, by potentiometric stripping analysis<sup>33</sup>, AFS method<sup>34</sup>, cerimetrically<sup>11,35</sup>, by potentiometric titration with XeF<sub>2</sub> and also bipotentiometrically with Mn(III) and Mn(IV).

Oxalate can be determined chromatorgraphically<sup>36</sup>, spectrophotometrically<sup>37</sup>, gasometrically<sup>38</sup> as well as by potentiometric precipitation titrations.

From this short survey of methods for the determination of reducing substances it is seen that the potentiometric methods are of great importance for these determinations. Therefore, it is desirable to reveal and develop new sensors for the TEP detection. In the hitherto published papers the following indicator electrodes were used: Cu-wire, graphite electrode, Pt-electrode, Ag-electrode coated with Ag<sub>2</sub>S and Ag<sub>3</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub> and picrate ion-selective electrode. All theafore-mentioned determinations were carried out either in aqueous or nonaqueous media, and are based on redox reactions, complex formation reactions or formation of precipitates.

In addition, Au, stainless steel, various inert electrodes can be also used as indicator electrodes in redox titrations, and one among the latter ones is the pyrite electrode which was found to be very effective in acid-base titrations in aqueous<sup>40</sup> and non-aqueous<sup>41</sup> solutions, as well as for complexometric titrations<sup>42,43</sup> and redox titrations<sup>44,32</sup>.

In this paper results obtained in investigating pyrite as sensor of the indicator electrode in redox titrations with standard Mn(VII) solution, are presented.

### **Experimental**

#### Chemicals and solutions

All solutions used in this paper were prepared from p.a. chemicals and distilled water.

The concentrations of standard solutions were determined titrimetrically by using visual or potentiometric TEP detection.

 $H_2P_2O_7^{2-}$  solutions with pH values 4.0, 5.0, 6.0 and 7.0 respectively, were prepared by adding  $H_2SO_4$  or NaOH to 0.02 M pyrophosphate solution, the pH being adjusted pH-metrically.

# Apparatus and electrodes

The apparatus used and the preparation of electrodes are described in an earlier paper<sup>40</sup>.

### Procedure

# Measurement of the stationary potential

The stationary potential of the  $Fe(CN)_6^{3-}/Fe(CN)_6^{4-}$  and Fe(III)/Fe(II) systems was measured at I = 0.1 M. The ionic strength was adjusted by the addition of NaNO<sub>3</sub>. The measurements were carried out for the following concentration ( $c_{Ox}/c_{Red}$ ) rations: 9:1, 7:3, 5:5. 3:7 and 1:9. The stationary potential was measured by noting the potential changes after each minute, in the course of 15 minutes. Potential values measured after 15 minutes were taken for the calculation of the slopes and correlation coefficients.

## Potentiometric titrations

An appropriate volume of the reductant solution was placed in a 150 mL beaker and made up to 80 mL with an acid solution of known concentration (or pyrophosphate solution of known pH value, in the case of Mn(II) determination), the electrode couple SCE-FeS2 was then dipped into the solution, the magnetic stirrer was switched on and the potential change was measured after the addition of each increment of Mn(VII). The exact amount of consumed Mn(VII) solution was calculated by the mathematical method from the second derivative.

# **Results and Discussion**

The electronic structure of crystalline pyrite indicates that this mineral is a low-spin complex with  $d^2sp^3$  hybridization. All hybrid orbitals are occupied with electrons which makes pyrite a diamagnetic and non-reactive compound. Since pyrite is a semiconductor of n or p type, it is possible to use it, on account of its chemical inactivity, for themeasurements of the redox potentials.

The potential changes in potentiometric titrations in this case can be explained by polarization curves for pyrite and the components taking part in the redox reaction (Fig.1.).



**Figure 1.** Anodic and cathodic polarization curves by means of which potential changes at the titration end-point are explained  $[Ox_1, Ox_2 (Ox_2), Ox_3 and Ox_4 are different oxidants].$ 

It is known that in acid media pyrite dissolves slightly (becomes corroded), giving rise to soluble products<sup>45</sup>:

$$FeS_2 + 8H_2O + 15e^- = Fe^{3+} + 2SO_4^{2-} + 16H^+$$
(1)

The rest potential of pyrite in open-circuit is 0.62 V vs. SCE, and at more positive potentials the dissolutions of pyrite occurs, which may be shown by the anodic polarization curve. The degree of pyrite oxidation is equivalent to the corrosion current and depends on several parameters (temperature, state of pyrite surface, oxidant concentration, oxidant nature, acidity of medium etc.). In potentiometric titrations, however, a polished mineral (small surface area), room temperature and low oxidant concentrations are used, so that all these conditions ensure an insignificant dissolution of pyrite. These data indicate that in the abscence of oxidizing agents, the value of the corrosion potential will be more negative (Fig.1.). Under these conditions the value of the corrosion current is also small. Such a state of the pyrite electrode occurs at the beginning of the potentiometric titrations. The effect of oxygen in the solution may be eliminated by bubbling nitrogen through the solution. Besides, the initial solutions may contain some ions which might cause the corrosion of pyrite at low potentials ( $E_{corr.}^{I}$ ). It may be assumed that the solutions a contains a reducing species (Red<sub>2</sub>) which on oxidation gives Ox<sub>2</sub>, when being titrated with the oxidant Ox<sub>3</sub>. Under these conditions the following reaction takes place in the solution:

$$\operatorname{Red}_{2} + \operatorname{Ox}_{3} = \operatorname{Red}_{3} + \operatorname{Ox}_{2} \tag{2}$$

where  $Ox_2/Red_2$  and  $Ox_3/Red_3$  denote corresponding redox pairs. After the first addition of the oxidizing agent  $Ox_3$  a corrosion potential  $E^{II}_{corr.}$  is established at the pyrite electrode, whereby pyrite is oxidized by the oxidizing form of the reducing species ( $Ox_2$ ). On further addition of the oxidizing agent  $Ox_3$ , the concentration of the  $Ox_2$  species increases ( $Ox_2$ ) and that results in the shift of the corrosion potential to  $E^{III}_{corr.}$ . At the end-point, when in the solution the oxidant  $Ox_3$  is in excess, the corrosion potential is abruptly shifted towards the more positive region, since under these conditions the dissolution of pyrite is defined by the  $Ox_3/Red_3$  system. This change of the corrosion potential from  $E^{III}_{corr.}$  makes possible the applications of the pyrite electrode as the indicator electrode in potentiometric redox titrations. From Fig.1. it is also seen that higher potential changes of the pyrite electrode at the titration end-point are observed in case oxidizing agents with more positive equilibrium potentials (stronger oxidants) are used. The reagents which display a great overvoltage in cathodic reduction at pyrite are not suitable as titrating agents, since the potential changes at the titration end-point in that case are small. Similar consideration hold for titrations of oxidizing agents with more negative region.

The measurements of the standard potential were carried out with solutions having different  $c_{Ox}/c_{Red}$  rations of the Fe(III)/Fe(II) and Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>3-</sup>/Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>4-</sup> systems, and the results obtained are shown in Fig. 2.

The calculated correlation coefficients ( $c_{corr}$ ) shown the linearity of the results obtained, whereas the hitherto performed investigations point out the effectiveness of the pyrite electrode as the indicator electrode for potentiometric titrations.



Figure 2. Plots of E against log  $(c_{Ox}/c_{Red})$ : (1) Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>3-</sup>/Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>4-</sup>; (2) Fe(III)/Fe(II).

The chemical process taking place in the potentiometric titration of the Mn(II) with permanganate in pyrophosphate media is given by the following equation:

 $4Mn^{2+} + MnO_4^- + 8H^+ + 15H_2P_2O_7^{2-} = 5Mn(H_2P_2O_7)_3^{3-} + 4H_2O_7^{3-}$ 

The main factor of this process is the concentration of  $H_2P_2O_7^{2-}$  on account of the stabilization of Mn(III) in the form of the pyrophosphate complex. The titrations were performed at pH values 4.0, 5.0, 6.0 and 7.0 (Fig. 3).



**Figure 3.** Potentiometric titrations of Mn(II) in pyrophosphate at various pH values (1. pH 4.00; 2. pH 5.00; 3. pH 6.00; 4. pH 7.00).

From the graph in Fig. 3. it is seen that pH 6.0 is the optimum pH, and that the tirations at pH-values 4.0, 5.0 and 7.0 do not afford good results since the TEP is shifted. At pH 6.0 the concentration of  $H_2P_2O_7^{2-}$  ion in the solution is maximal which enables the stabilization of Mn(III) form, a decrease of the standard Mn(III)/Mn(II) potential and shifts the reaction to the right.

Pastor et al<sup>9</sup>. carried out the determination of Mn(II) under the same conditions but they applied Pt and graphite electrodes as indicator electrodes. Comparison of results obtained by the application of the pyrite, Pt and graphite electrodes, is given in Table 1.

Titrated ion	Titrant	pН	Electrode	Potential change at the TEP	Reference
Mn(II)	Mn(VII)	6.2	Pt	110 - 130	9
Mn(II)	Mn(VII)	6.2	Carbon	165 - 190	9
Mn(II)	Mn(VII)	6.0	FeS <sub>2</sub>	100 - 190	Results presented in this paper

**Table 1.** Comparison of the results obtained with the pyrite, Pt andcarbon indicator electrode in pyrophosphate media.

From Table 1 it is seen that the pyrite electrode is applicable to the titrations of Mn(II) in pyrophosphate media at pH 6.0 with the same effectiveness as the Pt and graphite electrodes. The total potential changes range from 200 to 260 mV, whereas the jumps at the TEP from 100 to 190 mV/0.1 mL, depending on Mn(II) concentration in the titrated solution.

An increase in sulphuric acid concentration has a small effect on the increase of the total potential changes in the course of iron(II) titration, but not on the potential changes at the TEP.

However, an increase in  $H_3PO_4$  concentration effects considerably both the potential changes in the course of the titration and the potential changes at the TEP. These observations are expected since Fe(III) which is formed in the course of the titration, in phosphoric acid solution forms the complex Fe(HPO<sub>4</sub>)<sup>+</sup> whose formation constant is  $2.3*10^{9(46)}$ . The formation of such a stable complex causes a decrease of the potential of the Fe(III)/Fe(II) system and in this manner the potential differences with respect to the Mn(VII)/Mn(II) is increased. All that results in an increase of total potential changes in the course of the titration and also of potential changes at the TEP (Table 2).

Taken (mol)	c <sub>acid</sub> (mol.dm <sup>3</sup> )	Titrant	Total change of E	Change of E at the TFP (mV/0 1ml)	Found
Fe(II) <sup>1</sup>		Mn(VII)	(mv)		
0.0027	0.1		280 - 360	90 - 120	99.4±0.1
0.0027	4.5		340 - 350	90 - 120	99.7±0.2
0.0010	0.5		290 - 300	140 - 150	100.6±0.4
0.0019	0.5		305 - 310	100 - 130	100.1±0.3
0.0029	0.5		745 - 750	450 - 500	$100.0\pm0.0^{*}$
Mn(VII)		Fe(II)			
0.0007	1.5		260 - 270	50 - 60	99.9±0.2
0.0007	4.5		260 - 300	40 - 70	99.2±0.2
0.0004	1.5		280 - 290	75 - 80	99.2±0.1
0.0006	1.5		270 - 290	50 - 60	100.0±0.6

**Table 2.** Results obtained in potentiometric titrations of reducing substances with standard potassium permanganat solution (electrode couple FeS<sub>2</sub>-SCE).

Taken (mol)	c <sub>acid</sub> (mol.dm <sup>3</sup> )	Titrant	Total change of E	Change of E at the	Found
			(mV)	TEP (mV/0.1ml)	
Fe(II) <sup>2</sup>		Mn(VIII)			
0.0029	0.1		390 - 400	180 - 240	100.5±0.1
0.0029	1.5		440 - 470	260 - 330	100.5±0.1
0.0021	0.5		430 - 440	260 - 270	100.0±0.0
0.0037	0.5		440 - 445	240 - 250	99.9±0.1
		Fe(II)			
0.0007	0.1		340 - 350	130 - 160	99.5±0.2
0.0007	1.5		430 - 440	130 - 190	99.8±0.3
0.0004	0.5		410 - 420	190 - 200	99.2±0.1
0.0007	0.5		400 - 405	170 - 190	99.8±0.1
Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> <sup>4-</sup>		$Mn(VII)^1$			
0.0031	0.1		470 - 480	180 - 190	99.9±0.0
0.0031	1.5		370 - 380	60 - 65	99.9±0.1
0.0018	0.5		380 - 390	100 - 120	100.4±0.1
0.0038	0.5		400 - 410	90 - 100	100.3±0.1
0.0031	0.5		730 - 770	430 - 460	$100.0\pm0.0^{*}$
Mn(VII)		$Fe(CN)_6^{4-}$			
0.0004	0.1		320 - 330	140 - 150	100.3±0.1
0.0004	1.5		205 - 210	30 - 35	99.9±0.3
0.0004	0.5		260 - 270	75 - 80	99.9±0.3
0.0005	0.5		280 - 285	60 - 80	99.6±0.2
Fe(CN) <sub>6</sub> <sup>4-</sup>		$Mn(VII)^2$			
0.0031	0.5		440 - 450	150 - 180	99.7±0.1
0.0031	4.5		330 - 350	20 - 40	99.7±0.2
0.0012	0.5		420 - 430	170 - 180	101.1±0.1
0.0038	0.5		440 - 470	130 - 150	100.1±0.1
0.0031	0.5		710 - 780	440 - 510	$100.0\pm0.0^{*}$
As(III) <sup>3</sup>		Mn(VII)			
0.0013	1.2		230 - 290	150 - 200	100.7±0.1
0.0020	1.2		240 - 270	150 - 200	99.9±0.1
$As(III)^1$		Mn(VII)			
0.0020	0.1		280 - 330	160 - 230	100.3±0.2
0.0020	1.5		260 - 380	200 - 310	100.2±0.1
0.0009	0.5		230 - 250	150 - 160	98.9±0.1
0.0020	0.5		300 - 340	180 - 270	100.1±0.1
0.0013	0.5		690 - 740	350 - 620	$100.0 \pm 0.0^{*}$
$C_2O_4^{2-}$		$Mn(VII)^1$			
0.0014	0.1		180 - 250	90 - 140	101.8±0.2

Taken (mol)	c <sub>acid</sub> (mol.dm <sup>3</sup> )	Titrant	Total change of E	Change of E at the	Found
			(mV)	TEP (mV/0.1ml)	
0.0014	4.5		230 - 280	40 - 50	101.9±0.8
0.0014	0.5		220 - 280	110 - 160	101.0±0.2
0.0020	0.5		230 - 320	120 - 200	100.4±0.1
0.0014	0.5		550 - 560	360 - 420	$100.0\pm0.0^{*}$

1- Titrations in H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>; 2- Titrations in H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; 3- Titrations in HCl; \*-Titrations by the use of a Pt-el.

The increase in  $H_2SO_4$  and  $H_3PO_4$  concentrations has a more significant influence of potential changes in titrations of hexacyanoferrate(II). At lower  $H_2SO_4$  concentrations the process of hexacyanoferrate(II) oxidation takes place more readily since a small amount of  $H^+$  is available for the formation of  $H_4Fe(CN)_6$ .

With increasing  $H^+$  concentration the reaction of  $H_4Fe(CN)_6$  formation is favoured, and in conditions of satisfactory high  $H^+$  concentration (4.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) the process of hexacyanoferrate(II) oxidation is very slow. At lowe  $H_2SO_4$  concentrations (0.1, 0.5 and 1.5 M) the process of hexacyanoferrate(II) oxidation proceeds with a more considerable decrease in potential shanges with the increasing acidity. However, since  $H_3PO_4$  is a weaker acid than  $H_2SO_4$ , a  $H_3PO_4$  solution of the same concentration as that of  $H_2SO_4$  will contain less  $H^+$  ions, and therefore, with increasing  $H_3PO_4$  concentration of  $H_4Fe(CN)_6$  will have less priority. On account of these reasons the titration of hexacyanoferrate(II) in 4.5 M  $H_3PO_4$  is possible (Table 2).

With standard solutions of Mn(III) in 1.0 M  $H_2SO_4$  and Mn(IV) in 2.0 M  $H_2SO_4$  the bipotentiometric titrations (Pt-electrodes) of hexacyanoferrate(II) were performed with a total potential change of 400-750 mV. The results obtained with Mn(III) were 99.2+0.2% and those with Mn(IV) 100.2+0.3%. Hexacyanoferrate(II) can be determined also by potentiometric precipitation reactions with AgNO<sub>3</sub>, the indicator electrode being based on a mixture of Ag<sub>2</sub>S and Ag<sub>4</sub>Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>, whereby the obtained jumps amounted to about 150 mV/0.1 mL<sup>30</sup>, or a picrate ion-selective electrode can be used.

The titrations of As(III) were carried out in HCl (1.2 M) and  $H_2SO_4$  (0.1 - 4.5 M). Because the reaction of As(III) with Mn(VII) is slow, it had to be catalyzed. In titrations of As(III) in HCl, only the concentration of As(III) was changed. The changes in As(III) concentration had no significant effect either on the potential changes or on the accuracy of the results. An increase  $H_2SO_4$  concentration in titrations of As(III) had a more significant effect on potential changes. With increasing acidity the oxidizing ability of Mn(VII) is increased, and be cause of that the difference in potential is increased which causes an increase in potential changes (Table 2).

The titrations of oxalate were carried out in  $H_2SO_4$  (0.1-4.5 M). It is known that the presence of higher amounts of  $H_2SO_4$  inhibits the reaction of oxalate with Mn(VII) making it slow, which has a considerable effect on the decrease of potential change at the TEP (with increasing acidity the potential change is decreased for about 90 mV/0.1 mL) (Table 2).

In reversed titrations the behaviour of the pyrite electrode was similar to that in direct titrations. The total potential changes and the potential changes at the TEP were smaller with respect to those in direct titrations. The highest changes at the TEP were observed in titrations of Mn(VII) with iron(II)

in 1.5 M  $H_3PO_4$  (130 - 190 mV/0.1 mL), whereas the smallest ones in titrations of Mn(VII) with iron in  $H_2SO_4$  (50 - 60 mV/0.1 mL).

The accuracy and the reproducibility in direct and reverse titrations were satisfactory (Table 2).

In all direct and reverse titrations, in the presence of  $MnO_4^-$  ion in excess, potential oscillations were observed (±5mV). These oscillations are most probably due to unequal rates of  $MnO_4^-$  reduction on the pyrite surface. A change in the reduction rate (cathodic current density) causes a change in the position of the corrosion potential, which gives rise to its oscillations.

In the course of the titration and also at the TEP the potential was rapidly established (<1) except in titrations of oxalate where on account of a slow reaction a somewhat longer time-interval was required, as well as in the determination of As(III) where the reaction had to be catalyzed.

Data in Tables show that the behaviour of pyrite in all the investigated systems is in accordance with the expected one: higher jumps were obtained with those systems where the difference between the standard potential values was greater. Also higher jumps at the TEP were registered in cases where the medium can influence the reaction equilibrium (titration of Fe(II) in  $H_3PO_4$  or Mn(II) in pyrophosphate medium at the pH 6.0). In addition, taking into account the accuracy and the reproducibility as well as therate of potential establishment at the FeS<sub>2</sub> electrode in the course of the titration and at the TEP, it may be concluded that pyrite as sensor of the indicator electrode is suitable for the TEP detection in redox potentiometric titrations where standard Mn(VII) solution is used as the titrant.

# Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the Scientific Fund of the Republic of Serbia for financial support (Project No: 1622, 2002)

# References

- 1. Wakil, A.M. El-; Farag, A.S. El-Shahavi, M.S. Talanta 1989, 36(7), 783.
- 2. Labuda, J.; Vanickova, J. Beinrohr, E. Microchim. Acta I 1989, 3/4, 113.
- 3. Puri, B.K.: Kumar, A. Zh. Anal. Khim. 1984, 39(2), 360.
- 4. Rubio, S.; Hens, A.G.-; Valcarcal, M. Analyst 1984, 109(6), 717.
- Swami, V. Acta Ciencia Indica, Ser. Chem. 1980, 6(1), 23; (Electroanal. Abstracts 1981, 19(2), 1258).
- Alexander, P.W.; Trojanovicz, M.; Haddad, P.R. Anal. Lett. A 1984, 17(4), 309; (Anal.Abst. 1983, 47, B10)
- 7. Brodee, K.G.; Routh, M.W. Clin. Biochem. (Otawa) 1984,17(1), 19. (Anal. abst. 1985, 47(1), D23).
- 8. Miura, Y.; Koh, T. Bunseki Kagaku 1986, 35(6), 524; (Anal. Abst. 1986, 48(11) B188.).
- 9. Pastor, T.; Antonijevic, M.; Pastor, M. Dobricic, M. Bull. Soc Chem 1981,46(10), 611.
- 10. Al-Daher, M.; Kratochvil, B. Talanta 1980, 27(11), 983.

- 11. Sriramam, K.; Sarma, B.S.R.; Sastry, N.R.; Prasad, A.K. Talanta 1981, 28(12), 963.
- 12. Bond, A.M.; Nagaosa, Y. Anal. Chim. Acta 1985, 178(2), 197.
- 13. Jones, P.; Hobbs, P.J.; Ebdon, E. Analyst 1984, 109(6), 703.
- 14. Valero, J. An. Quim., Ser.B 1988, 84(2), 260; (Anal. Abst. 1989, 51(4), B150).
- 15. Visalakshi, G.; Narasimhan, S.V. Anal. Chim. Acta 1988,212, 335.
- 16. Malyuta, V.F.; Solomatin, V.T.; Nemodruk, A.A. Zh. Anal. Khim. 1981, 36(12), 2323.
- 17. Lampert, S.A.; Lampert, D.B.; Aleinikov, N.N.; Agasyan, P.K. Zh. Anal. Khim. 1980, 35(9), 1692.
- 18. Jonnalangadda, S.B. Anal. Chem. 1983, 55(14), 2253.
- 19. Radic, Nj.: Vudrag, M. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1984, 178, 321.
- 20. Barbado, V. Rev. Roum. Chim. 1984, 29(4), 367 (Anal. Abst. 1985, 47(5), B16).
- 21. Vlasov, Yu.G.; Rychkov, E.A. Ion-Sel. Electrode Rev. 1987, 9(1), 5 (Anal. Abst. 1987, 49(7), J134).
- 22. Pastor, T. Antonijevic, M. Analyst 1984, 109(3), 235.
- 23. Villar, C.M.G.; Monzonis, C.E. Talanta 1984, 31(7), 550.
- 24. Agasyan, P.K.; Sirakanyan, M.A. Zh. Anal. Khim. 1970, 25, 1677.
- 25. Porsang, G.; Tomscanyi, L. J. Electroanalyt. Chem. 1967, 13, 73.
- 26. Sivaramaiah, G.; Krishman, V.R. Z. Analyt. Chem. 1967, 231, 192.
- 27. Mender, J.H.-; Conde, F.L.- Talanta 1969, 16, 1114.
- 28. Gowda, H.S.; Khan, H.N.A. Talanta 1982, 29(9), 787.
- 29. Glukhovtseva, V.B.; Kimstach, B.A.; Dokhukina, L.G.; Bogdesarov, K.N. Zavod. Lab. 1982, 48(10), 23.
- 30. Ihn, G.-Sh.; Sohn, M.-J.; Buck, R.P. Anal. Chim. Acta 1988, 209, 345.
- 31. Diamandis, E.P.; Hadjiioannou, T.P. Mikrochim. Acta 1980, II, 27.
- 32. Antonijevic, M.; Mihajlovic, R.; Vukanovic, B. J. Serb. Chem.Soc. 1994,59(5), 329-336.
- 33. Jagner, B.; Josefson, M.; Westerlund, S. Anal., Chem. 1981, 53, 2144.
- 34. Piao, Z.: Yang, C. Fenxi Huaxue 1988, 16(8), 767 (Anal. Abst. 1989, 51, 405).
- 35. Sicilia, D.; Rubio, S.; Bendito, D.P.- Analyst 1990, 115, 1613.
- 36. Jenke, D.R. J. Chromatogr. 1988, 437(1), 231 (Anal. Abst. 1988, 50(8), E66).
- 37. Parkinson, I.S.; Sheldon, W.L.; Laker, M.F.; Smith, P.A. Clin. Chem. 1987, 33(7), 1203.
- 38. Geusman, M.S.; Dubinskii, R.A. Anal. Chim. Acta 1988, 212, 303.
- 39. Bobcnik, D.; Fresenius, Z. Anal. Chem. 1982, 313, 411.
- 40. Antonijevic, M.; Mihajlovic, R.; Vukanovic, B. Talanta 1992, 39, 809.
- 41. Mihajlovic, R.; Antonijevic, M.; Vukanovic, B. Mrdak, C. VI Yugoslav symposium on analytical chemistry, Sarajevo,1991, Yugoslavia, Book of abstracts p. 52.

- 42. Antonijevic, M.; Mihajlovic, R.; Vukanovic, B. Ibid p. 69.
- 43. Antonijevic, M.; Mihajlovic, R.; Vukanovic, B. XXXV Symposium of chemistry, Beograd, 1993, Yugoslavia, Book of Abstracts p.252.
- 44. Antonijevic, M.; Mihajlovic, R.; Vukanovic, B.; Jankovic, Z. 33<sup>rd</sup> IUPAC CONGRES, Budapest, 1991, Book of Abstracts 3104.
- 45. Peters, E.; Majima, H. Can. Metall. Q. 1968, 7, 111.
- 46. Laitinen, H.A.; Harris, W.E. Chemical Analysis, Moscow, 1979.

Sample Availability: Available from the authors.

© 2002 by MDPI (http://www.mdpi.net). Reproduction is permitted for noncommercial purposes.