Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2789–2795, 2007 www.atmos-chem-phys.net/7/2789/2007/ © Author(s) 2007. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons License.



Predicting terrestrial ²²²Rn flux using gamma dose rate as a proxy*

T. Szegvary¹, M. C. Leuenberger², and F. Conen¹

¹Environmental Geosciences, Department of Geosciences, University of Basel, Switzerland ²Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute, University of Bern, Switzerland

*Invited contribution by T. Szegvary, one of the EGU Outstanding Young Scientist Award winners 2006.

Received: 14 December 2006 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 5 January 2007 Revised: 23 April 2007 – Accepted: 23 May 2007 – Published: 6 June 2007

Abstract. ²²²Rn is commonly used as a natural tracer for validating climate models. To improve such models a better source term for ²²²Rn than currently used is necessary. The aim of this work is to establish a method for mapping this source term by using a commonly measured proxy, the gamma dose rate (GDR). Automatic monitoring of GDR has been networked in 25 European countries by the Institute for Environment and Sustainability at the Joint Research Centre (JRC IES) in Ispra, Italy, using a common data format. We carried out simultaneous measurements of ²²²Rn flux and GDR at 63 locations in Switzerland, Germany, Finland and Hungary in order to cover a wide range of GDR. Spatial variations in GDR resulted from different radionuclide concentrations in soil forming minerals. A relatively stable fraction (20%) of the total terrestrial GDR originates from the ²³⁸U decay series, of which ²²²Rn is a member. Accordingly, spatial variation in terrestrial GDR was found to describe almost 60% of the spatial variation in ²²²Rn flux. Furthermore, temporal variation in GDR and ²²²Rn was found to be correlated. Increasing soil moisture reduces gas diffusivity and the rate of ²²²Rn flux but it also decreases GDR through increased shielding of photons. Prediction of ²²²Rn flux through GDR for individual measurement points is imprecise but un-biased. Verification of larger scale prediction showed that estimates of mean ²²²Rn fluxes were not significantly different from the measured mean values.

1 Introduction

²²²Rn is commonly known as a hazardous radioactive (noble) gas in indoor air. Yet, ²²²Rn is also often used as a natural tracer of air transport. Observations of atmospheric ²²²Rn have been very useful in the evaluation of climate models

simulating transport, transformation and removal processes of gases and aerosols (e.g. Rasch, 2000). Used in inverse mode, these models can provide information on location, extent and strength of sources and sinks of greenhouse gases based on the measurement of changes in their atmospheric concentrations (Chevillard, 2002; Gupta et al., 2004). Currently, the effective use of ²²²Rn in this context is limited by the poor accuracy of the ²²²Rn source function (WMO GAW report no. 155, 2004). Current practice is to assume a spatial and temporal uniform flux rate of 1 atom cm⁻² s⁻¹ from all ice-free land surfaces. Improvement of the source term was attempted by Schery and Wasiolek (1998), who created a global ²²²Rn flux map based on porous media transport theory and calibrated with experimental radon flux data from Australia and Hawaii. It predicted regional variations of a factor of three not to be uncommon. However, current lack of detailed data on input parameters in large parts of the world results in the proposed map still being preliminary and depending on more data becoming available. Furthermore, additional flux measurements over a greater variety of conditions are needed for robust validation and eventual verification of the model. A different interpretation of the flux term was proposed by Conen and Robertson (2002), based on atmospheric profile measurements integrating over larger areas and indicating a decline in ²²²Rn flux from ice-free land surface from 1 atom cm⁻² s⁻¹ at 30° N to 0.2 atom cm⁻² s⁻¹ at 70° N. This source term was found to improve predictions but it was speculated that ²²²Rn flux might begin to decline well north of 30° N (Robertson et al., 2005). A more detailed source term is highly desirable to improve validation of atmospheric transport models since the quality of validation is directly proportional to the quality of the ²²²Rn source term used.

Therefore, we are proposing a new method to describe the ²²²Rn source term, initially focusing on the European continent. Our approach is to calibrate direct measurements of ²²²Rn flux against terrestrial gamma dose rate (GDR). We

Correspondence to: T. Szegvary (t.szegvary@unibas.ch)



Fig. 1. Correlations between the contribution of GDR originating from the 238 U decay series and total terrestrial gamma dose rate (top), 226 Ra activity and 238 U activity (middle) and 222 Rn flux at the soil surface and soil 226 Ra activity (bottom). Data from the first two diagrams was kindly provided by SUER (Section of Surveillance of Radioactivity, Switzerland).

made use of the high density of European GDR measurements, established after the nuclear reactor accident in Chernobyl in 1986, to produce a full description of the European ²²²Rn source term.

2 Basic concept

The source of ²²²Rn is ²²⁶Ra, a member of the ²³⁸U decay chain. Gamma spectroscopic analysis of soil surface samples (0–20 cm depth) in geologically diverse regions of Switzerland showed that ²³⁸U contributes an almost constant proportion to the terrestrial GDR (Fig. 1a) and that ²²⁶Ra activity is closely related to the ²³⁸U activity (Fig. 1b). Large radioactive disequilibria of the uranium decay series have been found in the limestone Karst soils in the Jura mountains (Von Gunten et al., 1996). Selective migration of individual members of the ²³⁸U decay chain could lead to an over- or underestimated GDR-based ²²²Rn flux in such locations. However, such cases seem to be rare, as seen in the close correlations in Figs. 1a and b.

The proportion of the contribution of the 238 U series to total gamma dose rate is also reported for North-West Italy in Chiozzi et al. (2002), for Spain in Quindos et al. (2004) and for Cyprus in Tzortzis et al. (2003). Contributions of the 238 U series for individual types of rocks reported in these four studies range from 12% to 90%. However, the average for each country or region ranges from 27% (Spain), 29% (North-West Italy) to 30% (Cyprus, Switzerland). Thus, in the context of our objective to predict larger scale averages for radon flux, it seems justified to assume a constant contribution of the 238 U series to the total gamma dose rate.

Therefore, we assume that ²²²Rn flux resulting from the decay of ²²⁶Ra is directly related to terrestrial GDR. This assumption is probably a good first approximation but not entirely correct as indicated by the relatively large scatter in the ratio of ²²²Rn flux to ²²⁶Ra activity (Fig. 1c). Firstly, only part of the produced ²²²Rn emanates into air filled pore space from where it might escape into the atmosphere and the fraction emanating may depend on grain size (Nazaroff, 1992). Secondly, differences in grain size and soil moisture modulate gas diffusivity and thus the fraction of emanated ²²²Rn that may reach the atmosphere before decay. Thus, the proportion of ²²²Rn produced that escapes into the atmosphere is variable and depends on factors other than ²²⁶Ra content. Indeed, the emanation coefficient for radon can vary by a factor of 10. The magnitude of this variation is a question of scale. Greeman and Rose (1996) determined emanation coefficients for each horizon in 12 contrasting soil profiles in the North-East of the United States. Emanation coefficients ranged from 5.5% to 33% for individual horizons. However, average emanation coefficients for entire soil profiles only ranged from 13% to 29% and two-thirds of the soil profiles were in the narrow range between 18% and 22%. Hence, despite large differences at the small scale, emanation factors at larger scales seem to be within a narrow range.

3 Methods

3.1 ²²²Rn flux measurement techniques

A barely modified closed chamber method as described in Lehmann et al. (2000, 2003) was used to measure the 222 Rn flux. The main modification consisted in air from the chamber not being pumped through a series of two but only one alpha-decay detector (Alphaguard 2000 Pro, Genitron Instruments Frankfurt, Germany). The flow rate was 0.51 min⁻¹, a delay volume of 1.51 was used to remove most of the 220 Rn with its half-life of 56 s (Lehmann et al., 2003) used the second detector, which was installed before the delay volume to evaluate also the 220 Rn flux). From there, the air passed to

the detector where only ^{222}Rn was measured. The ^{222}Rn flux was estimated from the increase in ²²²Rn activity measured in 10 min intervals over about 1.5 h. Remaining ²²⁰Rn may have affected the absolute value of measured ²²²Rn activity but not its increase over time, as ²²⁰Rn concentrations reach a steady state between production and decay after about 7 min and we always rejected the first 10 min measurement interval. Due to radioactive decay of ²²²Rn with a half-life time of 3.82 days the assumption of a linear increase of ²²²Rn in the chamber must be corrected by a factor of +0.38%. Two types of chambers were used: an automatically closing and opening chamber which measured autonomously the ²²²Rn flux from soil over a longer time period. This flux chamber, a cylindrical box with a diameter of 20 cm and 25 cm height had a flap, which closed automatically 6 times a day for 1.5 h to accumulate ²²²Rn and was then opened for 2.5 h prior to the next measurement. A second analytical system was a manually closable chamber (a plastic box with the dimensions 35 cm×27 cm and 13 cm height) which was used for spot measurements. The instrument we used in our study was compared in 2003 (Lynette Robertson, PhD Thesis, University of Edinburgh, 2005) to an instrument which has been widely used in East-Asia. The mean flux determined at six locations was $52 \text{ Bg m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ (standard error $9 \text{ Bg m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$) with our instrument and compared well with the mean flux of 49 Bq m⁻² h⁻¹ (standard error 8 Bq m⁻² h⁻¹) measured with the instrument described in Iida et al. (1996).

Long-term measurements of ²²²Rn fluxes were made at 7 different field sites of the Swiss Meteorological Service (MétéoSuisse). Normally, measurements took place for a duration of 3–4 weeks, except at the field site in Basel-Binningen, where continuous measurements were made over a year in order to estimate seasonal variations. Soil moisture at that location was measured with 4 TDR two-rod probes (rod length: 18 cm), connected with a multiplexer to a Tektronix 1502B (Tektronix, Inc., Wilsonville, USA). The signal was evaluated and logged with a data logger (CR10, Campbell Scientific, Inc., USA).

The manually closing chamber was used for in situ measurements of ²²²Rn flux at 29 sites in Switzerland and South-West Germany, at 8 sites each in Southern (Helsinki region) and Northern Finland (Rovaniemi region) and at 12 sites in Hungary. Supplementary data from Scotland (Robertson, 2005) was included. These measurements (n=9) were done with the same analytical ²²²Rn system. The difficulty of spot measurements of ²²²Rn flux and GDR is to get representative values for the specific location. Especially precipitation has been found to have significant effects on GDR because of the deposition of Rn daughters associated with aerosols, but also on short-term variations in ²²²Rn flux. Therefore, we avoided spot measurements during or immediately (4-8h) after precipitation events. Additionally we studied on small scale spatial variability in a woodland in Basel (Lange Erlen) using a nested sampling design with lag distances of 0.5 m, 5 m and 50 m.





Fig. 2. Correlation of ²²²Rn flux and terrestrial gamma dose rate measured at field sites in Switzerland, Germany, Scotland, Finland and Hungary.

3.2 Gamma dose rate

An autonomous gamma probe (Gammatracer, Genitron Instruments Frankfurt, Germany) for continuous surveillance of the environmental gamma radiation was used for measuring GDR (10H*). The gamma probe was placed 1m above ground during the measurement. Since most of the measurements took place at locations of the national gamma monitoring networks, where GDR is continuously measured, the gamma probe was used as a reference probe. This allowed inter-comparison of different probes at the network sites. The terrestrial component of the gamma dose rate was obtained by subtracting the cosmic part (which depends on altitude above sea level and can be calculated) from the measured total GDR (Murith and Gurtner, 1994). A correction was made for the artificial radiation, which is mainly derived from ¹³⁷Cs from the Chernobyl powerplant accident in 1986, based on the "Atlas of Caesium deposition on Europe after the Chernobyl accident" (De Cort et al., 1998).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Correlation of ²²²Rn flux and terrestrial GDR at different locations

The results of the measurement campaign are shown in Table 1, containing field site information and soil properties (all data concerning this research can also be found on the website http://radon.unibas.ch). There is a linear relationship between ²²²Rn flux and terrestrial GDR (Fig. 2), though the effect of heteroscedasticity is observed, i.e. the variability described by standard deviation depends on the mean value. This means high GDR values are associated with higher variability (an effect, which is often observed in nature). The measured data covers a range from almost 0 to 200 nSv h^{-1} respectively 0 to $250 \text{ Bq m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$. Most soils in Europe have gamma dose rates between about 40 to 140 nSv h^{-1} well within this range. Very low GDR (~40 nSv/h and a ²²²Rn flux less than $15 \text{ Bq m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$) can be found at locations



Fig. 3. ²²²Rn flux, terrestrial gamma dose rate, precipitation and soil moisture time series from June to November 2006 in Basel (Switzerland). Heavy rain events are marked with I, II and III.

which have either a high water content and/or low or no mineral content like peat soils. Overall, almost 60% of the variation in ²²²Rn flux can be described by the spatial variation of terrestrial GDR.

Still, there is a lot of variation, which may also be caused by the gamma probe and the ²²²Rn measurement chamber integrating over different soil volumes. The measurement of GDR is mostly influenced by the variability of radionuclides and soil moisture near the soil surface (0 to 0.1 m) within a radius of about 10 m around its location. In contrast, measured ²²²Rn flux is mostly influenced by ²²⁶Ra content and soil moisture in 0 to 1 m soil depth but a three to four orders of magnitude smaller area. Thus, inhomogeneities in radionuclide and moisture distribution on this scale will affect both parameters to a different extent. The scatter in Fig. 2 is unlikely to be caused by short-term fluctuations in either parameter. Not only the short-term measurements (triangles) show the scattering effect, but also the long-term measurements (circles), which would smoothen out such short term effects. The nested sampling near Basel revealed that the coefficient of variation between measurements separated by a distance of 0.5 m was 19%, increasing to 21% and 36% for 5.0 and 50.0 m distances, respectively. The large coefficient of variation at the smallest distance may to a large part be caused by the error in our ²²²Rn measurement, which we estimate to be around $\pm 15\%$ of the mean. For atmospheric tracer applications, regional information on the ²²²Rn flux is required. The variability in the correlation between GDR and ²²²Rn flux, which can be found on the local scale, seem to counter balance on the regional scale, as discussed later (see Sect. 4.4).

4.2 Correlation of ²²²Rn flux and terrestrial GDR over time

Temporal variations in ²²²Rn flux can be observed in GDR at the long-term measurement in Basel (Fig. 3) during the pe-

riod from June to November 2006, where soil moisture and precipitation was also measured. At the beginning of July a prolonged dry period began without nearly any precipitation and soil moisture decreased almost constantly. During this period the ²²²Rn flux was observed to increase by about 100% until the beginning of August. Simultaneously, GDR increased from 82 nSv/h to 98 nSv/h, which is nearly 20%. Decreasing soil moisture increases the air filled pore volume and with it the diffusivity of soil. Therefore, ²²²Rn flux is larger when soils are dry and less ²²²Rn decays before it may reach the soil surface (Grasty, 1997). At the same time, low soil moisture leads to reduced shielding of gamma-rays and a larger proportion of them can be detected in the atmosphere above the ground. Diurnal changes in the amplitude of GDR during periods without precipitation are supposed to be influenced by changes in Rn and Rn-progeny concentrations in the near surface air, where they accumulate during atmospherically stable conditions at night (Greenfield, 2002, 2003).

At end of September through the beginning of October three intense rain events were recorded (Fig. 3). These were days within a period of otherwise stable weather conditions, where during a short time period between 60 mm and 80 mm of rain fell, approximately the same amount for all three rain events. After each of the three events, the ²²²Rn flux decreased immediately with the beginning of precipitation, probably because of the wet soil surface severely inhibiting ²²²Rn diffusion into the atmosphere. The reaction of GDR was initially to the contrary. It suddenly increased after the first rain event from 85 nSv/h to 110 nSv/h, an increase of 29%. This effect is caused by outwash of particles from the lower atmosphere, carrying previously absorbed ²²²Rn progeny back to the soil surface (Greenfield, 2002, 2003). The cummulative half-life of the short-lived ²²²Rn progeny is about 50 min. Thus, the GDR decreased within a few hours once rain had stopped and was lower than it was before the rain event (\sim 8–10%). The second and third rain event showed the same effect. The only difference between the three rain events was the amplitude of the peak at the start of each rain fall, which was smaller for the second and third compared to the first one because the atmosphere was getting increasingly cleaner of particles carrying ²²²Rn progeny.

4.3 Factors affecting ²²²Rn flux but not GDR

Our analysis of the correlation between ²²²Rn and terrestrial GDR showed that both parameters are affected similarly by the radionuclide content of the soil and by soil moisture. However, there are also factors affecting ²²²Rn flux without having a similar effect on GDR which we have not evaluated so far. Total pore space and tortuosity are important variables that affect ²²²Rn flux (Nazaroff, 1992) but not GDR. A larger proportion of ²²²Rn produced within the soil profile will escape to the atmosphere from coarse grained soils with a large total pore volume than from compacted fine grained soils,

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1.3 1.3 7.6 7.6 1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	1.3 7.6 7.6 7.6 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
Switzerland 7.58 47.54 316 66* 88 5.9 71.4 22.7 2 7.88 47.43 610 14 57 25.7 55.4 18.9 1 7.88 47.43 610 14* 64 25.7 55.4 18.9 1 6.67 46.51 461 91* 93 30.9 54.0 15.1 7.74 47.29 453 87* 84 7 7.42 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 7.42 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 7.42 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 7.42 46.33 381 37 96 5.5 82.4 12.1 6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 7.84 46.30 640 50* <th>1.3 7.6 7.6 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4</th>	1.3 7.6 7.6 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
7.58 47.54 316 66^{*} 88 5.9 71.4 22.7 22 7.88 47.43 610 14 57 25.7 55.4 18.9 11 7.88 47.43 610 14^{*} 64 25.7 55.4 18.9 11 6.67 46.51 461 91^{*} 93 30.9 54.0 15.1 7.74 47.29 453 87^{*} 84 742 46.93 565 84^{*} 83 45.2 37.1 17.7 7.42 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 742 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 6.92 46.33 381 37 96 5.5 82.4 12.1 6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 6.58 46.84 1202 49 65 37.2 47.2 15.6 22 6.79 47.08 1018 67 73 6.3 70.3 23.4 22 25.6 11 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 2 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 9.88 46.50 1345 61 1000 62.1 34.3 <	1.3 7.6 7.6 1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
7.38 47.34 610 14 57 25.7 55.4 18.9 1 7.88 47.43 610 14* 64 25.7 55.4 18.9 1 6.67 46.51 461 91* 93 30.9 54.0 15.1 7.74 47.29 453 87* 84 7 7.42 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 6.92 46.33 381 37 96 5.5 82.4 12.1 1 7.84 46.30 640 50* 108 44.3 52.6 3.1 6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 7.84 46.30 640 50* 108 44.3 52.6 3.1 6.58 46.84 1202 49 65 37.2 47.2 15.6 2 6.23 46.40 430 92 89 25.2 49.3 25.6 1 9.84 46.81 1590 18	1.3 7.6 7.6 1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
7.88 47.43 610 14 37 23.7 33.4 18.9 1 7.88 47.43 610 14* 64 25.7 55.4 18.9 1 6.67 46.51 461 91* 93 30.9 54.0 15.1 7.74 47.29 453 87* 84 7.42 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 7.42 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 7.84 46.30 640 50* 108 44.3 52.6 3.1 6.58 46.84 1202 49 65 37.2 47.2 15.6 2 6.79 47.08 1018 67 73 6.3 70.3 23.4 2 9 88 12.0 2 9 25.2 49.3 25.6 1 9 2 9.88 12.0 2 9 85 <td< td=""><td>1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4</td></td<>	1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
7.88 47.43 610 14^* 64 25.7 55.4 18.9 1 6.67 46.51 461 91^* 93 30.9 54.0 15.1 7.74 47.29 453 87^* 84 7.42 46.93 565 84^* 83 45.2 37.1 17.7 7.42 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 6.92 46.33 381 37 96 5.5 82.4 12.1 6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 7.84 46.30 640 50^* 108 44.3 52.6 3.1 6.58 46.84 1202 49 65 37.2 47.2 15.6 2 6.79 47.08 1018 67 73 6.3 70.3 23.4 2 6.23 46.40 430 92 89 25.2 49.3 25.6 13.9 2 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 2 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 48.5 34.4 17.1 2 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 4	1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
6.67 46.51 461 91* 93 30.9 54.0 15.1 7.74 47.29 453 87* 84 7.42 46.93 565 84* 83 45.2 37.1 17.7 7.42 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 6.92 46.33 381 37 96 5.5 82.4 12.1 6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 7.84 46.30 640 50* 108 44.3 52.6 3.1 6.58 46.84 1202 49 65 37.2 47.2 15.6 2 6.23 46.40 430 92 89 25.2 49.3 25.6 1 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 2 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 <t< td=""><td>1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4</td></t<>	1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
7.74 47.29 453 87* 84 7.42 46.93 565 84* 83 45.2 37.1 17.7 7.42 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 6.92 46.33 381 37 96 5.5 82.4 12.1 6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 7.84 46.30 640 50* 108 44.3 52.6 3.1 6.58 46.84 1202 49 65 37.2 47.2 15.6 2 6.79 47.08 1018 67 73 6.3 70.3 23.4 2 6.23 46.40 430 92 89 25.2 49.3 25.6 1 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 2 9.84 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 <td< td=""><td>1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4</td></td<>	1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
7.42 46.93 565 $84*$ 83 45.2 37.1 17.7 7.42 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 6.92 46.33 381 37 96 5.5 82.4 12.1 6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 7.84 46.30 640 $50*$ 108 44.3 52.6 3.1 6.58 46.84 1202 49 65 37.2 47.2 15.6 2 6.79 47.08 1018 67 73 6.3 70.3 23.4 2 6.23 46.40 430 92 89 25.2 49.3 25.6 11 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 22 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 48.5 34.4 17.1 2 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 2 9.40 47.43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7 20.8 33.5 4 9.52 <	1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
7.42 46.93 565 66 63 45.2 37.1 17.7 6.92 46.33 381 37 96 5.5 82.4 12.1 6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 7.84 46.30 640 50^* 108 44.3 52.6 3.1 6.58 46.84 1202 49 65 37.2 47.2 15.6 2 6.79 47.08 1018 67 73 6.3 70.3 23.4 2 6.23 46.40 430 92 89 25.2 49.3 25.6 1 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 2 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 48.5 34.4 17.1 2 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 11 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 2 9.40 47.43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7 20.8 33 9.07 47.03 515 74 59 8.7 52.8 38.5 4 9.52	1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
6.92 46.33 381 37 96 5.5 82.4 12.1 6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 7.84 46.30 640 50* 108 44.3 52.6 3.1 6.58 46.84 1202 49 65 37.2 47.2 15.6 2 6.79 47.08 1018 67 73 6.3 70.3 23.4 2 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 2 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 9.88 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 <td>1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4</td>	1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
6.92 46.33 381 13 92 5.5 82.4 12.1 7.84 46.30 640 50* 108 44.3 52.6 3.1 6.58 46.84 1202 49 65 37.2 47.2 15.6 2 6.79 47.08 1018 67 73 6.3 70.3 23.4 2 6.23 46.40 430 92 89 25.2 49.3 25.6 1 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 2 9.84 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 48.5 34.4 17.1 2 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 <td>1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4</td>	1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
7.84 46.30 640 50* 108 44.3 52.6 3.1 6.58 46.84 1202 49 65 37.2 47.2 15.6 2 6.79 47.08 1018 67 73 6.3 70.3 23.4 2 6.23 46.40 430 92 89 25.2 49.3 25.6 1 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 2 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 48.5 34.4 17.1 2 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 2 9.40 47.43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7	1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
6.58 46.84 1202 49 65 37.2 47.2 15.6 2 6.79 47.08 1018 67 73 6.3 70.3 23.4 2 6.23 46.40 430 92 89 25.2 49.3 25.6 1 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 22 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 48.5 34.4 17.1 2 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 2 9.40 47.43 779 44 61	1.8 5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
6.38 40.84 1202 49 63 51.2 41.2 15.6 2 6.79 47.08 1018 67 73 6.3 70.3 23.4 2 6.23 46.40 430 92 89 25.2 49.3 25.6 1 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 2 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 48.5 34.4 17.1 2 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 2 9.40 47.43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7 20.8 3 9.07 47.03 515 74 59	5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
6.79 47.08 1018 67 73 6.3 70.3 23.4 2 6.23 46.40 430 92 89 25.2 49.3 25.6 1 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 2 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 48.5 34.4 17.1 2 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 2 9.40 47.43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7 20.8 3 9.07 47.03 515 74 59 8.7 52.8 38.5 4 9.52 47.13 460 39 66	5.8 5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
6.23 46.40 430 92 89 25.2 49.3 25.6 1 9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 2 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 48.5 34.4 17.1 2 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 22 9.40 47.43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7 20.8 33 9.07 47.03 515 74 59 8.7 52.8 38.5 4 9.52 47.13 460 39 66 20.5 70.1 9.4 2 8.46 47.06 1040 7 33	5.3 9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
9.84 46.81 1590 18 65 54.2 33.8 12.0 2 9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 48.5 34.4 17.1 2 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 2 9.40 47.43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7 20.8 3 9.07 47.03 515 74 59 8.7 52.8 38.5 4 9.52 47.13 460 39 66 20.5 70.1 9.4 2 8.46 47.06 1040 7 33 17.3 39.5 43.3 7.64 47.59 268 109 105 105	9.1 5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
9.88 46.53 1705 37 77 39.6 46.5 13.9 2 10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 48.5 34.4 17.1 2 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 2 9.40 47.43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7 20.8 3 9.07 47.03 515 74 59 8.7 52.8 38.5 4 9.52 47.13 460 39 66 20.5 70.1 9.4 2 8.46 47.06 1040 7 33 17.3 39.5 43.3 7.64 47.59 268 109 105 43.3 43.3 43.3	5.5 3.4 3.9 8.4
10.07 46.34 1201 98 92 48.5 34.4 17.1 2 7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 2 9.40 47.43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7 20.8 3 9.07 47.03 515 74 59 8.7 52.8 38.5 4 9.52 47.13 460 39 66 20.5 70.1 9.4 2 8.46 47.06 1040 7 33 17.3 39.5 43.3 7.64 47.59 268 109 105 43.3 43.3 43.3	3.4 3.9 8.4
7.79 47.26 422 83 66 33.0 41.8 8.8 2 8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 22 9.40 47.43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7 20.8 33 9.07 47.03 515 74 59 8.7 52.8 38.5 4 9.52 47.13 460 39 66 20.5 70.1 9.4 2 8.46 47.06 1040 7 33 17.3 39.5 43.3 7.64 47.59 268 109 105 105 105	3.9 8.4
8.31 46.50 1345 61 100 62.1 34.3 3.6 1 8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 2 9.40 47.43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7 20.8 3 9.07 47.03 515 74 59 8.7 52.8 38.5 4 9.52 47.13 460 39 66 20.5 70.1 9.4 2 8.46 47.06 1040 7 33 17.3 39.5 43.3 7.64 47.59 268 109 105 43.3 43.3	8.4
8.90 47.48 536 96 69 28.6 49.4 22.0 2 9.40 47.43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7 20.8 3 9.07 47.03 515 74 59 8.7 52.8 38.5 4 9.52 47.13 460 39 66 20.5 70.1 9.4 2 8.46 47.06 1040 7 33 17.3 39.5 43.3 7.64 47.59 268 109 105 43.3 43.3	
9,40 47,43 779 44 61 33.5 45.7 20.8 33 9,07 47.03 515 74 59 8.7 52.8 38.5 4 9,52 47.13 460 39 66 20.5 70.1 9.4 2 8,46 47.06 1040 7 33 17.3 39.5 43.3 7.64 47.59 268 109 105 43.3 43.3	49
9.07 47.03 515 74 59 8.7 52.8 38.5 4 9.52 47.13 460 39 66 20.5 70.1 9.4 2 8.46 47.06 1040 7 33 17.3 39.5 43.3 7.64 47.59 268 109 105	41
9.507 47.03 515 74 59 6.7 52.6 56.5 4 9.52 47.13 460 39 66 20.5 70.1 9.4 2 8.46 47.06 1040 7 33 17.3 39.5 43.3 7.64 47.59 268 109 105 43.3	13
9.52 47.15 400 59 00 20.5 70.1 9.4 2 8.46 47.06 1040 7 33 17.3 39.5 43.3 7.64 47.59 268 109 105 Germany	1.5
8.46 47.06 1040 7 33 17.3 39.5 43.3 7.64 47.59 268 109 105 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 10 7 10 7 10 7 10	0.8
7.64 47.59 268 109 105 Germany Germany	
Germany	
7.81 47.76 850 157 155	
8.00 47.66 700 30 82	
8.14 47.59 300 33 61	
795 4756 280 58 62	
778 47.56 250 08 116	
7.82 47.65 500 89 127	
Finland	
	0.2
25.29 60.39 11 189 132 2.6 39.6 57.8 2	0.3
26.22 60.46 30 84 191 70.8 23.4 5.8	5.2
26.05 60.44 6 124 166 87.3 7.4 5.3 1	2.5
23.79 61.51 112 55 135 9.1 48.9 42.0 2	4.7
24.04 61.27 94 60 94 23.0 36.2 40.9 1	6.2
24.29 60.89 110 51 100 25.9 61.6 12.5 1	7.4
22.37 60.45 37 134 104 17.4 40.0 42.7 2	3.9
23.98 60.47 37 108 124 1.5 42.5 56.0 1	6.9
2814 6614 250 12 80 675 251 75 5	3.0
2676 6637 118 95 82 85 60.2 223 1	8.8
20.70 00.57 110 25 02 0.5 07.2 22.5 1	1 1
25.79 00.31 01 49 61 36.2 57.2 4.3 1	1.1
20.91 05.40 118 0 55 35.9 41.0 23.2 /	0.4
26.47 65.95 160 6 50 42.0 49.5 8.6 4	8.9
26.64 67.41 173 35 45 52.9 42.7 4.4 2	6.7
27.33 66.72 162 2 43 35.4 58.1 6.5 5	4.9
24.85 66.12 27 53 73 38.4 51.5 10.1	3.4
Hungary	
17.67 47.71 121 29 86 54.5 37.1 8.4	3.2
18.41 47.56 182 108 100 60.1 25.0 14.9	4.3
19.14 47.94 227 80 108 12.9 60.9 26.2	9.6
1954 4810 163 44 89 525 317 159	96
10 70 48 05 225 47 04 20 7 44 4 24 0) A
17.77 + 0.00 + 220 + 17 + 74 + 20.7 + 44.4 + 24.9 - 20.7 + 17.8 + 47.10 + 26.0 + 70 + 0.2 + 27.5 + 57.0 + 17.5 +	4 5 0
17.67 47.10 200 79 93 25.5 57.0 17.6 3).Z
1/4/ $4/.55$ 144 25 70 48.6 31.2 20.2 1	3.8
20.27 47.73 127 23 70 82.6 9.5 7.9	3.6
	-
20.77 48.10 230 111 91 1	1.8
20.77 48.10 230 111 91 1 20.26 48.23 176 73 92 27.7 47.2 25.1	1.8 7.0
20.77 48.10 230 111 91 1 20.26 48.23 176 73 92 27.7 47.2 25.1 7 18.61 47.76 112 50 88 44.9 45.4 9.6 3	1.8 7.0 3.0

* Longterm measurements in Switzerland

Table 2. Verification of the model in Finland and Hungary for regional mean values of measured and predicted ²²²Rn flux.

	²²² Rn flux measured	²²² Rn flux predicted	n
S-Finland N-Finland Hungary	$\begin{array}{c} 100{\pm}17\mathrm{Bq}\mathrm{m}^{-2}\mathrm{h}^{-1}\\ 32{\pm}11\mathrm{Bq}\mathrm{m}^{-2}\mathrm{h}^{-1}\\ 60{\pm}09\mathrm{Bq}\mathrm{m}^{-2}\mathrm{h}^{-1} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 102{\pm}13\text{Bq}\text{m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1} \\ 41{\pm}06\text{Bq}\text{m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1} \\ 68{\pm}03\text{Bq}\text{m}^{-2}\text{h}^{-1} \end{array}$	8 8 12

whereas the escape of gamma rays is unlikely to be affected by this. There already exist models for ²²²Rn flux prediction based on geological and pedological factors, but such models require numerous parameters which are not well known due to the complicated interactions between different geological and pedological units influencing the ²²²Rn flux (Ielsch et al., 2002). Temperature differences between air and soil have also been found to be a factor influencing ²²²Rn flux (Nazaroff, 1992), which is driven by diffusion and possibly mass flow. As for other possible correlations between environmental parameters and radon flux, we have tested for correlations with air temperature, atmospheric pressure, soil temperature and difference between air and soil temperature. If one of these parameters was correlated with radon flux, it was very weak. We do not think these parameters have a strong direct effect on radon flux but rather coincide with precipitation events or dry spells. In principle, diurnal pressure variations may cause mass flow through periodic expansion and contraction of the soil gas volume and influence the otherwise mainly diffusion-driven exchange of radon between soil pore space and atmosphere. We would expect this to be a major factor in deeply weathered dry soils with large air volumes. In the commonly humid regions in Europe we studied, it might not be a major issue.

4.4 Verification on a regional scale

As mentioned in the introduction, our interest in describing the ²²²Rn flux term is because of its application in the validation of atmospheric transport models. We therefore would like to be able to correctly predict regional averages of ²²²Rn flux. To test our approach of using GDR as a proxy, we split our data in one part to produce the correlation function between ²²²Rn flux and GDR (Switzerland, Germany, Scotland) and another part to verify the correlation (N- and S-Finland, Hungary). The correlation function derived was: $y=0.995 (\pm 0.10)x+14.97 (\pm 8.11) (r^2=0.66)$, where y is the ²²²Rn flux in Bq m⁻² h⁻¹ and x is the GDR in nSv h⁻¹. The measured regional means differed by a factor of up to 3, as considered not to be uncommon by Schery and Wasiolek (1998). Still, predicted means were within the error margin of the respective measured mean (Table 2), strongly supporting the effectiveness of our approach.

5 Conclusion

Most of the spatial variation in ²²²Rn flux may be explained by the variation in radionuclide activity in soils derived from different parent material. Soil moisture has been shown to have similar effects on ²²²Rn flux as it has on GDR, except for short time periods during precipitation events. Considering additional parameters besides GDR, e.g. soil type, might further improve the prediction of ²²²Rn fluxes on the small scale. However, it may also unnecessarily complicate prediction, especially if we are going to extend it to areas where required data may not be available. To predict average regional ²²²Rn flux, the empirical correlation with GDR seems to suffice to produce regional means of ²²²Rn flux within the error margin of measurements.

Acknowledgements. This project was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (project no. 200021-105123). We would like to thank the Swiss National Emergency Operations Center providing us with data for gamma dose rates, SUER (Section of Surveillance of the Radioactivity, Switzerland) for radionuclide databases in Switzerland and MétéoSuisse for meteorological data.

Edited by: M. Ammann

References

- Chiozzi, P., Pasquale, V., and Verdoya, M.: Naturally occurring radioactivity at the Alps-Apennines transition, Radiation Measurements, 35, 147–154, 2002.
- Conen, F. and Robertson, L. B.: Latitudinal distribution of radon-222 flux from continents, Tellus, 54B, 127–133, 2002.
- Chevillard, A., Ciais, P., Karstens, U., Heimann, M., Schmidt, M., Levin, I., Jacob. D., Podzun, R., Kazan, V., Sartorius, H., and Weingartner, E.: Transport of ²²²Rn using the regional model REMO: a detailed comparison with measurements over Europe, Tellus, 54B, 850–871, 2002.
- De Cort, M. and de Vries, G.: The European Union Radiological Data Exchange Platform: Reference Manual and European Automatic Monitoring Systems, EUR 16415 EN, EC, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, EUR (2005), Automatic mapping algorithms for routine and emergency monitoring data, EUR 21595 EN, EC, edited by: Dubois, G., Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, 1996.
- De Cort, M., Dubois, G., Fridman, Sh. D., Germenchuk, M. G., Izrael, Yu. A., Janssens, A., Jones, A. R., Kelly, G. N., Kvasnikova, E. V., Matveenko, I. I., Nazarov, I. M., Pokumeiko, Yu. M., Sitak, V. A., Stukin, E. D., Tabachnyi, L. Ya., Tsaturov, Yu. S., and Avdyushin, S. I.: Atlas of caesium deposition on Europe after the Chernobyl accident, EUR Report 16733, EC, Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, 1998.
- Grasty, R. L.: Radon emanation and soil moisture effects on airborne gamma-ray Measurements, Soc. Geophys., 62(5), 1379– 1385, 1997.

- Greeman, D. J. and Rose, A. W.: Factors controlling the emanation of radon and thoron in soils of the eastern U.S.A., Chemical Geology, 129, 1–14, 1996.
- Greenfield, M. B., Domondon, A. T., Okamoto, N., and Watanabe, I.: Variation in γ -ray count rates as a monitor of precipitation rates, radon concentrations, and tectonic activity, J. Appl. Phys., 91(3), 1628–1633, 2002.
- Greenfield, M. B., Domondon, A., Tsuchiya, S., and Tomiyama, G.: Monitoring precipitation and lightning via changes in atmospheric gamma radiation, application of accelerators in research and industry: 17th Int. conference, American Institute of Physics, 2003.
- Gupta, M. L., Douglass A. R., Kawa, R., and Pawson, S.: Use of radon for evaluation of atmospheric transport models: sensitivity to emissions, Tellus Series B-Chemical and Physical Meteorology, 56(5), 404–412, 2004.
- Ielsch, G., Ferry, C., Tymen, G., and Robe, M.-C.: Study of a predictive methodology for quantification and mapping of the radon-222 exhalation rate, J. Environ. Radioactivity, 63, 15–33, 2002.
- Iida, T., Ikebe, Y., Suzuki, K., Ueno, K., Wang, Z., and Jin, Y.: Continuous measurements of outdoor radon concentrations at various locations in East Asia, Environ. Int., 22, 139–147, 1996.
- Lebedyte, M., Butkus, D., and Morkunas, G.: Variations of the ambient dose equivalent rate in the ground level air, J. Environ. Radioactivity, 64, 45–57, 2002.
- Lehmann, B. E., Lehmann, M., Neftel, A., and Tarakanov, S. V.: Radon-222 monitoring of soil diffusivity, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 3917–3920, 2000.
- Lehmann, B. E., Ihly, B., Salzmann, S., Conen, F., and Simon, E.: An automatic static chamber for continuous ²²⁰Rn and ²²²Rn flux measurements from soil, Radiation Measurement, 38, 43– 50, 2003.
- Murith, C. and Gurtner, A.: Mesures in situ et irradiation externe (French), in: BAG, Environmental radioactivity and radiation exposure in Switzerland 1993, Swiss Federal Office of Public Health, Berne, 1994.
- Nazaroff, W.: Radon transport from soil to air, Rev. Geophys., 30(2), 137–160, 1992.
- Quindos Poncela, L. S., Fernández, P. L., Gómez Arozamena, J., Sainz, C., Fernández, J. A., Suarez Mahou, E., Martin Matarranz, J. L., and Cascón, M. C.: Natural gamma radiation map (MARNA) and indoor radon levels in Spain, Environ. Int., 29, 1091–1096, 2004.

- Rasch, P. J., Feichter, J., Law, K., Mahowald, N., Penner, J., Benkovitz, C., Genthon, C., Giannakopoulos, C., Kasibhatla, P., Koch, D., Levy, H., Maki, T., Prather, M., Roberts, D. L., Roelofs, G.-J., Stevenson, D., Stockwell, Z., Taguchi, S., Kritz, M., Chipperfield, M., Baldocchi, D., McMurry, P., Barrie, L., Balkanski, Y., Chatfield, R., Kjellström, E., Lawrence, M., Lee, H. N., Lelieveld, J., Noone, K. J., Seinfeld, J., Stenchikov, G., Schwartz, S., Walcek, C., and Williamson, D.: A comparison of scavenging and deposition processes in global models: results from the WCRP Cambridge Workshop of 1995, Tellus, 52B, 1025–1056, 2000.
- Robertson, L. B.: Radon Emissions to the Atmosphere and their use as Atmospheric Tracers, University of Edinburgh, Ph.D. thesis, 2005.
- Robertson, L. B., Stevenson, D. S., and Conen, F.: Test of a northwards-decreasing Rn-222 source term by comparison of modelled and observed atmospheric Rn-222 concentrations, Tellus, 57B, 116–123, 2005.
- Schery, S. D. and Wasiolek, M.: Modeling radon flux from the earth's surface, in: Radon and Thoron in the Human Environment, edited by: Katase, A. and Shimo, M., World Scientific, Singapore, 207–217, 1998.
- Schery, S. D., Whittlestone, S., Hart, K. P., and Hill, S. E.: The flux of radon and thoron from australian soils, J. Geophys. Res., 94(D6), 8567–8576, 1989.
- Tzortzis, M., Tsertos, H., Christofides, S., and Christodoulides, G.: Gamma-ray measurements of naturally occurring radioactive samples from Cyprus characteristic geological rocks, Radiation Measurements, 37, 221–229, 2003.
- Von Gunten, H. R., Surbeck, H., and Rössler, E.: Uranium series disequilibrium and high thorium and radium enrichments in Karst formations, Environ. Sci. Technol., 30(4), 1268–1274, 1996.
- World Meteorological Organization Global Atmosphere Watch: 1st International expert meeting on sources and measurements of natural radionuclides applied to climate and air quality studies, no. 155, 2004.