

Influence of mountain waves and NAT nucleation mechanisms on polar stratospheric cloud formation at local and synoptic scales during the 1999–2000 Arctic winter

S. H. Svendsen¹, N. Larsen¹, B. Knudsen¹, S. D. Eckermann², and E. V. Browell³

¹Danish Meteorological Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark

²Naval Research Laboratory, Washington DC, USA

³NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia, USA

Received: 30 June 2004 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 20 August 2004

Revised: 19 October 2004 – Accepted: 28 February 2005 – Published: 7 March 2005

Abstract. A scheme for introducing mountain wave-induced temperature perturbations in a microphysical PSC model has been developed. A data set of temperature fluctuations attributable to mountain waves as computed by the Mountain Wave Forecast Model (MWF-2) has been used for the study. The PSC model has variable microphysics, enabling different nucleation mechanisms for nitric acid trihydrate, NAT, to be employed. In particular, the difference between the formation of NAT and ice particles in a scenario where NAT formation is not dependent on preexisting ice particles, allowing NAT to form at temperatures above the ice frost point, T_{ice} , and a scenario, where NAT nucleation is dependent on preexisting ice particles, is examined. The performance of the microphysical model in the different microphysical scenarios and a number of temperature scenarios with and without the influence of mountain waves is tested through comparisons with lidar measurements of PSCs made from the NASA DC-8 on 23 and 25 January during the SOLVE/THESEO 2000 campaign in the 1999–2000 winter and the effect of mountain waves on local PSC production is evaluated in the different microphysical scenarios. Mountain waves are seen to have a pronounced effect on the amount of ice particles formed in the simulations. Quantitative comparisons of the amount of solids seen in the observations and the amount of solids produced in the simulations show the best correspondence when NAT formation is allowed to take place at temperatures above T_{ice} . Mountain wave-induced temperature fluctuations are introduced in vortex-covering model runs, extending the full 1999–2000 winter season, and the effect of mountain waves on large-scale PSC production is estimated in the different microphysical scenarios. It is seen

that regardless of the choice of microphysics ice particles only form as a consequence of mountain waves whereas NAT particles form readily as a consequence of the synoptic conditions alone if NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is included in the simulations. Regardless of the choice of microphysics, the inclusion of mountain waves increases the amount of NAT particles by as much as 10%. For a given temperature scenario the choice of NAT nucleation mechanism may alter the amount of NAT substantially; three-fold increases are easily found when switching from the scenario which requires preexisting ice particles in order for NAT to form to the scenario where NAT forms independently of ice.

1 Introduction

Polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) are known to be of vital importance to ozone-depleting processes in the polar regions (Tolbert and Toon, 2001). In particular, the formation of solid PSC particles (ice and nitric acid trihydrate (NAT) particles) is important since these particles may grow large enough for gravitational sedimentation to have an impact, thereby depleting the stratosphere of water and nitrogen compounds. The removal of these compounds serves to enhance the ozone-depleting conditions (WMO, 1999).

In the northern polar stratosphere synoptic temperatures usually hover around the existence temperature for NAT (WMO, 1999). Consequently, the additional cooling caused by mountain waves may be of importance to the production of solid PSC types in the northern polar vortex. Mountain waves are known to cause the formation of solid PSC types (Carslaw et al., 1998a,b) and the locally produced solid PSCs may exist at vast distances downstream (Carslaw et al.,

Correspondence to: S. H. Svendsen
(shs@dmi.dk)

1999). The presence of NAT particles in the Arctic stratosphere does not appear to be sporadic; in a multi-year study of lidar data from Ny Ålesund, Biele et al. (2001) found wide-spread presence of NAT particles interspersed with liquid aerosol. In order to be able to produce reasonable estimates of the load of solid type PSCs in the Arctic, it is therefore important to be able to evaluate the amount of PSCs produced in mountain waves compared to the amount of synoptically produced PSCs. Lidar observations are an excellent tool for studying local-scale PSC phenomena in great detail (Toon et al., 2000). Detailed studies of lidar observations of mountain wave PSCs combined with microphysical and optical modelling have been carried out by e.g. Hu et al. (2002) and Fueglistaler et al. (2003).

According to a study of mountain wave PSCs by Fueglistaler et al. (2003), ECMWF trajectories lack the ability to reproduce the cooling rates observed in mountain waves. In the present study, a method for incorporating mountain wave effects in microphysical PSC simulations on local as well as synoptic scales has been developed, thereby allowing for an estimate of the importance of mountain wave effects on the PSC load.

Whereas the existence of NAT particles in polar stratospheric clouds is well established (Voigt et al., 2000), the formation mechanism of NAT is still debated. NAT is believed to form by heterogeneous nucleation on pre-existing ice particles, requiring temperatures below T_{ice} at some point (Carslaw et al., 1998a,b), but recent studies have indicated the need for NAT nucleation mechanisms which are independent of the existence of ice particles, only requiring temperatures below the existence temperature for NAT, a condition which is easier to meet than the demand for pre-existing ice particles (Drdla et al., 2002; Pagan et al., 2004; Irie et al., 2004). Here, the effect of a proposed nucleation mechanism for NAT which is active above T_{ice} is examined on both local and synoptic scales.

In Sect. 2 the microphysical model used for this study is presented and the method for including mountain wave effects in the simulations is introduced in Sect. 2.1. Next, comparisons between lidar measurements of PSCs and model runs with variable microphysics and with and without mountain waves are presented in Sect. 3. Finally, in Sect. 4 the influence of mountain waves and the choice of microphysics on the production of solid PSCs inside the vortex is evaluated for the winter 1999–2000.

2 The microphysical model

Simulations of the PSC production have been made using the Danish Meteorological Institute microphysical PSC model (Larsen, 1991, 2000). The core of the PSC model is a box model which for a given time step calculates the changes in size distributions, chemical composition, and physical phase of an ensemble of particle types. The input to the box model

at each time step is the temperature, pressure, partial pressures of H_2O and HNO_3 , the current number densities, and the amount of bound acid and water in each size bin of the different aerosol types, and the box model returns new values of these parameters in each size bin. Mixtures of four different particle types are recognised by the model: 1) Liquid particles assumed to be sulphate aerosols turning into supercooled ternary H_2O - H_2SO_4 - HNO_3 solutions (STS) at low temperatures through uptake of HNO_3 and H_2O , 2) frozen sulphate aerosols assumed to be sulphuric acid tetrahydrate, SAT, 3) NAT particles with inclusions of SAT, and 4) ice particles with inclusions of NAT and SAT. Each of the four different particle types has its own size distribution. The size distributions are discretized into N size bins on a geometric volume scale. Within a given size distribution particles are shifted to higher or lower radius bins through vapour deposition and evaporation. When phase transitions occur (e.g. all NAT evaporating to form a SAT particle) particles are transferred from one size distribution to another. During this transfer the particles are assumed to have an unaltered radius. When calculating the evaporation and condensational growth of liquid, NAT, and ice particles, vapour pressures over STS are taken from Luo et al. (1995), vapour pressures over NAT are taken from Hanson and Mauersberger (1988), and vapour pressures over ice are taken from Marti and Mauersberger (1993). Ice particles form by homogeneous nucleation out of STS solutions at temperatures 3–4 K below the ice frost point, T_{ice} , and a homogeneous nucleation rate, derived from experimental data, is used in the simulations (Koop et al., 2000).

Recently, studies have been published indicating the need for a freezing process active above T_{ice} in order to explain observations of solid PSC particles (Drdla et al., 2002; Pagan et al., 2004; Irie et al., 2004; Larsen et al., 2004). As an example of a NAT nucleation mechanism capable of generating NAT at temperatures above the ice frost point, NAT nucleation in the present study is described by the nucleation rate of nitric acid dihydrate, NAD, found in Tabazadeh et al. (2002) (assuming an instantaneous conversion of NAD particles to NAT), although corrected by a factor of 0.1 in order to comply with restrictions posed by observations of particle size distributions and gas phase mixing ratios of HNO_3 according to the findings in Larsen et al. (2004). The proposed nucleation mechanism is currently debated, see e.g. Knopf et al. (2002), but it is, to our knowledge, the latest published parameterisation of NAT nucleating out of STS at temperatures above T_{ice} and may as such be seen as a useful representative of scenarios allowing for NAT nucleation at temperatures above T_{ice} . Whether this particular nucleation mechanism alone is responsible for any NAT formation at temperatures above T_{ice} or whether other nucleation mechanisms, e.g. heterogeneous nucleation (Drdla et al., 2002), are active cannot be concluded from the present study, which only addresses the effect of a single proposed nucleation mechanism for NAT which is active above T_{ice} as compared to a scenario

where NAT formation requires the presence of ice particles. Note that the choice of nucleation mechanism for NAT will affect the formation of ice particles. If NAT can form at temperatures above the ice frost point, ice may nucleate heterogeneously on preexisting NAT particles as soon as temperatures drop slightly below T_{ice} instead of only forming by homogeneous nucleation at temperatures 3–4 K below T_{ice} .

The model is initialised by profiles of HNO_3 and H_2O and a background population of sulphuric aerosols. The size distribution of the sulphuric aerosols is based on a SAGE I and SAGE II (Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment) surface area climatology (Hitchman et al., 1994). Aerosol extinctions are retrieved from the SAGE/SAM stratospheric aerosol climatology Hitchman et al. (1994) by interpolation in latitude and altitude in the tabulation of decadal mean values and converted to surface area densities by the provided formula (Hitchman et al., 1994). Assuming the aerosol is characterised by a lognormal size distribution with median radius $0.0725\ \mu\text{m}$ and geometric standard deviation 1.86, Pinnick et al. (1976), the surface area density is converted to aerosol number density, resulting in values in the order of 10 particles per cm^3 , consistent with measurements (Schreiner et al., 2003). The HNO_3 content is initialised by a LIMS profile (Gille and Russell, 1984) and the H_2O content is described as a function of the potential temperature, Θ , based on a series of frost point hygrometer measurements in the northern polar vortex (Ovarlez et al., 2004). Comparing the LIMS profile to observations of the HNO_3 profile made during SOLVE/THESEO-2000, Coffey et al. (2002), the LIMS profile is lower than the observations from December and higher than the observations from March. It is our opinion that a profile placed in between the two winter extremes of December and March is a reasonable value to use for the initialisation of the model.

The input to the model is provided by temperature trajectories based on ECMWF analyses. The calculation of the trajectories uses 6 hourly ECMWF analyses on a $1.5^\circ \times 1.5^\circ$ longitude-latitude grid. The trajectories themselves are calculated on an equal-area grid with a grid distance of 139 km and start inside or at the edge of the polar vortex at 9 standard isentropic levels (360 K, 380 K, 400 K, 435 K, 475 K, 515 K, 550 K, 600 K, and 650 K) in the case of the hemispheric simulations and on 11 levels (400 K, 425 K, 450 K, 475 K, 500 K, 530 K, 560 K, 590 K, 600 K, 625 K, and 700 K) in the case of the small-scale simulations. Diabatic cooling is taken into account in the calculations (Morcrette, 1991; Knudsen and Grooss, 2000; Larsen et al., 2002). At each point of a trajectory the temperature, pressure, potential temperature, potential vorticity, latitude, and longitude are given. Depending on the number of trajectories and their duration, microphysical simulations on a variety of scales may be performed; from very detailed model runs closely matching the time and location of different sets of measurements to vortex-covering simulations extending over entire winter seasons.

2.1 Including mountain wave effects in the simulations

Temperature fluctuations due to mountain waves may influence the formation of solid type PSCs (Carslaw et al., 1998a). Climatological studies of the stratosphere over Scandinavia have shown that practically all ice particle events in this area are attributable to the presence of mountain waves (Dörnbrack and Leutbecher, 2001). In order to obtain reliable estimates of the PSC load, the inclusion of mountain wave effects in the modelling of PSCs is crucial. ECMWF reanalyses lack sufficient resolution to resolve the full spectrum of mesoscale mountain waves that occur in the stratosphere, see e.g. (Fueglistaler et al., 2003). To provide estimates of mountain wave influences on the PSC load, mesoscale temperature variances from hindcast runs using Version 2 of the Naval Research Laboratory Mountain Wave Forecast Model (MWFM-2) through global analysis fields issued by NASA's Global Modeling and Analysis Office (GMAO) during the Arctic winter of 1999–2000 are used to supplement the ECMWF temperature trajectories. Details of the MWFM-2 algorithms are given by Eckermann and Preusse (1999), Hertzog et al. (2002) and Jiang et al. (2004). These fields are issued as averaged gridded wave-induced temperature variance fields on pressure surfaces, derived from the raw mountain wave ray data generated by the hindcasts, to make them more amenable for use in offline transport simulations. The formation and use of this 1999–2000 gridded product is described in detail by Pierce et al. (2003) and Pagan et al. (2004). An important point to note is that the procedure of averaging into a gridded variance product tends to significantly suppress typical intra-gridbox variability found within unaveraged mountain wave fields (Hertzog et al., 2002; Brogniez et al., 2003) and thus the data product used in this study should be viewed as a working lower bound on likely mesoscale temperature variability due to mountain waves. Thus, any microphysical changes caused by insertion of these mountain wave fields are likely significant.

In this study, the MWFM-2 fields are used to introduce mountain wave-induced temperature fluctuations into the simulations. The MWFM-2 hindcasts and averaging produce daily fields of mountain wave-induced temperature fluctuations on a $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ grid, specifying the amplitude of the temperature fluctuation at seven standard pressure levels (10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 70, and 100 hPa). Through interpolations in pressure and time the value of the temperature amplitude is found at the nearest grid point of each trajectory position, thereby producing a data base of mountain wave-induced temperature fluctuations at the times and locations of the trajectories. When running the microphysical simulations, different temperature scenarios with and without the inclusion of mountain wave effects can be examined: Case 1: $T=T_0$, Case 2: $T=T_0-T_A$, Case 3: $T=T_0-T_A-T_{corr}$, where T is the temperature used as input in the microphysical simulations, T_0 is the trajectory temperature according to the ECMWF




Fig. 1. Flight tracks of the DC-8 flights of 23 and 25 January 2000, respectively.

Table 1. Threshold values for type classification of DC-8 lidar measurements. *B*: Backscatter ratio, *D*: Depolarisation.

DC-8 lidar threshold values		
	<i>B</i>	<i>D</i> (%)
PSC 1b	$0.18 \leq B$	$D < 2.5$
PSC 1a	$0.18 \leq B \leq 5.0$	$D \geq 2.5$
PSC 2	$B > 5.0$	$D \geq 2.5$

analysis, T_A is the mountain wave-induced temperature amplitude, and T_{corr} is an additional temperature correction, based on temperature restrictions posed by the presence of ice particles in observations. This additional correction will be described in further detail below. Subtraction of the amplitude of the mountain wave perturbation in cases 2 and 3 corresponds to the maximal lowering of the temperatures and one should therefore interpret these results as lower limits of the temperature.

3 Comparing model runs and lidar measurements

Given the high altitude and time resolution of lidar measurements, very detailed information about the observed aerosols can be provided by such measurements. In order to make comparisons between observations and model results, it is important to ensure that the model results closely match the time and location of the measurements. In the present study, lidar data from the NASA DC-8 flights of 23 and 25 January 2000, during the SOLVE/THESEO 2000 campaign have been considered. Data from these flights have been studied extensively by Hu et al. (2002) and Fueglistaler et al. (2003). A summary of the SOLVE/THESEO 2000 campaign can be found in Newman et al. (2002). A description of the lidar system used for the measurements can be found in Browell (1989) and Browell et al. (1990). A map showing the flight tracks of the two flights is shown in Fig. 1. Sets of back trajectories have been initiated along the flight tracks. Running these trajectories produces information about the aerosol at the position and time of the lidar measurements according to the microphysical model.

The accuracy of the temperatures and the mountain wave corrections can be evaluated by considering the restrictions on the temperatures posed by the presence of ice particles. In areas where ice is observed, the temperature must necessarily be equal to or colder than the ice frost point, T_{ice} . By keeping track of the times and altitudes where ice particles are observed one may compare the model temperatures in these areas to T_{ice} . In the DC-8 data, aerosol backscatter ratios at 603 nm greater than 5.0 with enhanced depolarisation ($>2.5\%$) are considered to be ice particles, see Table 1. Comparisons of the model temperatures and T_{ice} indicate a warm-bias in the model temperatures and an additional temperature correction, T_{corr} , is thus introduced. T_{corr} is defined as the average difference between the model temperature and T_{ice} in those areas where ice particles are observed. It is assumed that the additional temperature correction is associated with an under-estimation of the mountain wave-induced temperature fluctuations and T_{corr} is only introduced in the simulations if the mountain wave-induced temperature amplitude T_A exceeds a value of 0.5 K. The value of T_{corr} is found to be 1.16 K for 23 January 2000, and 3.70 K for 25 January 2000.

The model allows the calculation of the backscatter ratio and depolarisation based on a T-matrix calculation code as described in Larsen et al. (2004). A number of assumptions about the shape of the particles and their refractive indices must be made and the calculated backscatter ratio and depolarisation will be rather sensitive to the choice of these parameters. Hence, the calculated optical variables are only suited for general qualitative comparisons with the measured data and not for detailed, quantitative comparisons. The difference in temporal and altitudinal resolution between the measured and modelled quantities as well as the limited resolution ($1^\circ \times 1^\circ$) of the mountain wave-induced temperature




Fig. 2. Observed and modelled PSC types for 23 January, 2000. Grey areas in the plots of measured data represent valid data with backscatter values less than the minimum threshold value for PSC presence whereas white areas indicate lack of valid data. Panel (A) shows the observed PSC types, panel (B) shows the PSC types according to the microphysical model in the $T=T_0$ scenario, panel (C) shows the modelled PSC types in the $T=T_0-T_A$ scenario, and panel (D) shows the modelled PSC types in the $T=T_0-T_A-T_{corr}$ scenario.

corrections point to overall qualitative comparisons instead of detailed point-by-point comparisons. Therefore, comparisons between observational data and modelled data are based on cloud types instead of a direct comparison of the optical variables. In the case of the observations, type distinction is made by considering the backscatter ratio and the depolarisation. Such a cloud type distinction is only possible when both the backscatter ratio and the depolarisation are available and, hence, the type plots presented here only represent the areas where both types of data are present. Points where the backscatter ratio B lies in the interval $0.18 \leq B \leq 5.0$ and the depolarisation D is larger than 2.5% are classified as type 1a PSCs whereas points where $5.0 < B$ and $2.5\% < D$ are classified as type 2 PSCs and points where the depolarisation is smaller than 2.5% and the backscatter ratio is higher than 0.18 ($0.18 < B$ and $D < 2.5\%$) are considered to be type 1b PSCs. An overview of the PSC type classification scheme for the observational data is given in Table 1. It should be noted that in the present paper only the aerosol backscatter ratio and not the total backscatter ratio is considered.

Observations of PSCs indicate that very often there are both liquid and solid particles present in the cloud (Biele et al., 2001; Larsen et al., 2004). Whether a given PSC observation will be classified as a type 1a or type 1b PSC depends on whether NAT particles or STS particles dominate the optical signal. When determining the cloud type of a given modelled particle distribution it is therefore necessary to have an estimate of the proportions in the mixture of liquid and solid particles which gives rise to a classification as a type 1a or type 1b PSC. In simulations of the volume density of STS and NAT particles and the total colour index and extinction a clear switch in the colour index and extinction from what would be considered a type 1b cloud to a type 1a cloud took place when the surface area density of NAT was approximately 5% of the surface area density of STS, see Fig. 5 in (Larsen et al., 2004). When classifying the PSC type of a given model data point this is used as a threshold value to separate PSC 1a from PSC 1b; if the surface area density of either NAT or ice in a given point constitutes 5% or more of the surface area density of STS particles, the point is classified as a type 1a or type 2 point, respectively. If not, the point is classified as a type 1b point.




Fig. 3. Observed and modelled PSC types for 25 January 2000. Grey areas in the plots of measured data represent valid data with backscatter values less than the minimum threshold value for PSC presence whereas white areas indicate lack of valid data. Panel (A) shows the observed PSC types, panel (B) shows the PSC types according to the microphysical model in the $T=T_0$ scenario, panel (C) shows the modelled PSC types in the $T=T_0-T_A$ scenario, and panel (D) shows the modelled PSC types in the $T=T_0-T_A-T_{corr}$ scenario.

Comparisons of the PSC type distribution in the measured and the modelled data are shown in Fig. 2 for 23 January 2000, and Fig. 3 for 25 January 2000. NAT nucleation above T_{ice} has been included in the simulations. In the figures, the upper left panel (A) shows the PSC type distribution in the measurement data. The upper right panel (B) shows model results from the $T=T_0$ scenario, the lower right panel (C) shows the results from the $T=T_0-T_A$ scenario and the results from the $T=T_0-T_A-T_{corr}$ scenario are shown in the lower left panel (D). In the simulations of the two DC-8 flights NAT nucleation above T_{ice} has been included.

In the case of 23 January 2000 (see Fig. 2), areas of all three PSC types are seen in the observations. When considering the model data it is seen that in the case where no temperature corrections have been introduced (panel B) the model handles the presence of PSC 1a and PSC 1b reasonably well. Around 09:00 UT the observations indicate PSC 1a around 21–23 km followed by PSC 1b from 19 to 21 km. In the model, this region shows both PSC 1a and PSC 1b as well, although the PSC 1a field extends too far downwards and the PSC 1b field is lying too low. Around 10:00 UT

the observations indicate an area of no PSCs between 15 and 19 km and from 22 to 23 km, possibly with small amounts of PSC 1a clouds in the lower area. No valid data is present covering the intermediate altitude interval. In the model, the entire altitude interval contains PSCs, with a small area of PSC 1a at the top of the profile and PSC 1b in the intermediate and lower part. The next section in the observational data stretches from 12:00 to 13:30 UT in the altitude interval from 16 to 24 km and shows no PSCs in the early and/or lower parts of the segment and PSC 1a in the higher part. In the model data PSC 1a is the prominent feature, although the PSCs are seen to extend too low and span a longer time interval than the observations. The last segment of the observations show distinct areas of PSC 2 (from 22 to 24 km between 15:30 and 16:00 UT), PSC 1a (from 15:00 to 17:00 UT and from 19 km and upwards) and PSC 1b which is mainly seen from 16:00 to 17:00 UT between 17 and 23 km. In the model data, the PSC 2 field is not reproduced. Ample amounts of PSC 1b are observed as well as PSC 1a, although the PSC 1a field is generally too small. Overall, the model data appears to extend the PSC fields too far downwards, which may




Fig. 4. Comparison of measured and modelled PSC types for 23 January 2000. Panel (A) shows the observed PSC types whereas panel (B) shows the modelled PSC types in the microphysical scenario where NAT nucleation above the ice frost point is included and panel (C) shows the modelled PSC types in the microphysical scenario where NAT nucleation above the ice frost point is not included. In both of the model simulations the coldest temperature scenario $T = T_0 - T_A - T_{corr}$ has been used.

be indicative of an altitude bias in the model data. It should be mentioned, however, that the altitude is determined from the pressure of the input trajectories and the scale height, and should be viewed as an approximation. Most notably is the lack of ice particles in the model data considering the rather prominent ice feature seen in the observations. When mountain wave effects are included in the simulations (panels C and D) an increase in PSC 1a is seen at the expense of PSC 1b. Ice clouds are only seen in the coldest of the three temperature scenarios, (panel D). The PSC 1a field observed around 15:00 UT is not reproduced by the model in any of the three temperature scenarios.

Considering the DC-8 flight of 25 January 2000, all three PSC types are observed as well (see Fig. 3, panel A). The first section of the observational data (from 08:00 to 10:00 UT) shows only PSC 1a and PSC 1b, with PSC 1a dominating the early part of this section and PSC 1b dominating the late part. In the case of the model data the synoptic scenario (panel B) shows a mixture of PSC 1a and PSC 1b as well, although the PSC 1a field extends a little later than what is seen in the observations. The next section of the observational data from 12:30 to 17:00 UT shows three prominent PSC 2 areas




Fig. 5. Comparison of measured and modelled PSC types for 25 January 2000. Panel (A) shows the observed PSC types whereas panel (B) shows the modelled PSC types in the microphysical scenario where NAT nucleation above the ice frost point is included and panel (C) shows the modelled PSC types in the microphysical scenario where NAT nucleation above the ice frost point is not included. In both of the model simulations the coldest temperature scenario $T = T_0 - T_A - T_{corr}$ has been used.

surrounded by PSC 1a. The middle part of this section (from 14:00 to 15:30 UT) is a complex mixture of PSC 1a and PSC 1b. In the synoptic model data (panel B) the PSC 1a fields are reproduced reasonably well. Most notable is the complete lack of PSC 2 fields in the synoptic model data. Proceeding to scenarios where mountain wave effects are included (panel C and D) an increase in the amount of PSC 1a is seen at the expense of PSC 1b. Going to the coldest of the three temperature scenarios, $T = T_0 - T_A - T_{corr}$ (panel D) areas of PSC 2 are seen in the model data as well. However, the PSC 2 areas in the latest segment span time intervals which are slightly long compared to the observations and in the first segment (around 08:00 UT) a PSC 2 field is seen in the model data which is not seen in the observations. Given that the amount of PSC 2 in the $T = T_0 - T_A - T_{corr}$ seems to be rather large compared to the observed amount of PSC 2, one may suspect that the value of T_{corr} has been too high in this case. T_{corr} is an average value based on the difference between T_{ice} and T in the areas where PSC 2 observations are made and should only be considered a rough estimate.




Fig. 6. Percentage of PSC 1a in observational and model data for 23 January 2000, for three different temperature scenarios. Model results are shown for two different microphysical scenarios, one where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is allowed, and one where this is not the case. Horizontal black lines mark the PSC 1a percentage in simulations where the HNO_3 content has been changed by ± 1 ppbv. The variation in the case where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is not included is not discernable on this scale.




Fig. 7. Percentage of PSC 2 in observational and model data for 23 January 2000, for three different temperature scenarios. Model results are shown for two different microphysical scenarios, one where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is allowed, and one where this is not the case. The variation in the percentage of PSC 2 when varying the HNO_3 content by ± 1 ppbv is not discernable on this scale.

Overall, it seems that the microphysical model is capable of producing PSC type distributions which correspond reasonably well with observations. In both the examined cases

the synoptic temperature scenario ($T=T_0$) was unable to resolve the observed type 2 PSCs. Going to mountain wave-influenced scenarios resulted in PSC 2 presence at the correct locations, even though indications of an overestimated value of T_{corr} was seen in the case of 25 January 2000. As a whole, the best agreement between the observations and the corresponding simulations is seen in the cases where the influence of mountain waves has been included since these cases seem to result in a better reproduction of the solid PSC types.

The simulations used for comparison with the observation data above all included NAT nucleation at temperatures above T_{ice} . In order to illustrate the difference between the outcome of simulations with and without this particular nucleation mechanism comparisons between the two different microphysical scenarios are shown in Fig. 4 for 23 January 2000, and in Fig. 5 for 25 January 2000. In both of these figures, panel (A) shows the observed distribution of PSC types whereas panel (B) shows the modelled PSC distribution when NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is included in the simulations and panel C shows the modelled PSC distribution when this particular nucleation mechanism is not included in the simulations and NAT forms on pre-existing ice particles instead. The coldest temperature scenario, $T=T_0-T_A-T_{corr}$, is used in all the simulations.

In the case of 23 January 2000 (Fig. 4), large differences are seen between the two different microphysical scenarios; when NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is allowed (panel B) large sections of PSC 1a are seen, largely corresponding to the PSC 1a fields seen in the observations (panel A). A stretch of PSC 2 is seen as well, although slightly delayed compared to the observations. Going to the scenario where NAT is only allowed to nucleate on pre-existing ice particles the model results are completely dominated by PSC 1b and only sporadic traces of PSC 1a are seen. This is not in correspondence with the observations which show ample amounts of PSC 1a.

The comparison for 25 January 2000, is shown in Fig. 5. The simulation where NAT only forms by nucleation on pre-existing ice particles (panel C) is dominated by PSC 1b (panel C), but shows PSC 2 fields in the correct positions. Compared to the measurements (panel A) the amount of PSC 1a is underestimated in this scenario. In the case where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is allowed (panel B) much more pronounced fields of PSC 1a are seen, which corresponds better with the observations than the case where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is not allowed (panel C). Both microphysical scenarios display a PSC 2 area around 08:00 UT which is not seen in the observations, an indication that the final temperature correction T_{corr} may be over-estimated in the case of 25 January 2000.

In the qualitative comparisons between observations and simulations described above indications of a preference for mountain wave-perturbed scenarios where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is allowed was found. In the following, this qualitative analysis is extended to a quantitative one based on the overall PSC statistics of the entire DC-8 flights and the

corresponding model simulations. For the sections of the model data which correspond to areas where both backscatter ratio and depolarisation data are available and, hence, a PSC type classification is possible, it is investigated whether or not any solid PSCs are present in the model data and the percentage of model points containing either PSC 1a or PSC 2 can be determined. The percentage of PSC 2 and PSC 1a in the observational data (red columns) and in the simulations is shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 for 23 January 2000, and in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 for 25 January 2000. In the figures, the percentages of PSC 1a and PSC 2 in the model data are determined for two sets of model data: one where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is allowed as described earlier in this paper (blue columns), and one where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is not allowed (green columns). In the latter case, NAT forms on preexisting ice particles.

For both 23 and 25 January 2000, the percentage of PSC 1a in the model data shows the best correspondence with the measurements when NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is included (see Fig. 6 and Fig. 8) than when this is not the case. When NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is included in the microphysics the percentage of PSC 1a in the model data is of the same order of magnitude as the percentage of PSC 1a in the observations whereas the percentage of PSC 1a in the case where NAT nucleation is not included is much smaller. In the case of the PSC 1a, the influence of mountain waves is crucial in the case where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is not included in the microphysics: In this case PSC 1a is only seen as a consequence of mountain waves whereas PSC 1a is seen in ample amounts already as a consequence of the synoptic conditions alone when NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is allowed. For both the days considered here, the synoptic temperatures alone were sufficient to generate the majority of the observed PSC 1a and in such cold cases where the synoptic temperatures already are below T_{NAT} any additional mountain wave cooling will not cause any large changes. In other cases, where the synoptic temperatures are warmer, mountain wave cooling may have a larger influence on the amount of NAT particles in the scenario where NAT nucleation is allowed above T_{ice} .

In a recent study it was established that PSC 1a observations from the early part of the winter 1999–2000 could not be explained by the presence of mountain waves and that some NAT nucleation mechanism active above the ice frost point was necessary in order to explain the observations (Pagan et al., 2004). The present work supports this conclusion since, even in the presence of mountain waves, the amount of PSC 1a produced when there is no NAT nucleation above T_{ice} does not correspond to the amount of PSC 1a seen in observations. When NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is active a better correspondence between observational data and model data is seen.

In the case of the PSC 2, the influence of mountain waves is much larger, see Fig. 7 and Fig. 9. In this case, no PSC 2 is seen in the model data when the synoptic temperatures alone are taken into consideration, regardless of the choice




Fig. 8. Same as Fig. 6, but for 25 January 2000.




Fig. 9. Same as Fig. 7, but for 25 January 2000. Horizontal black lines mark the PSC 2 percentage when the HNO_3 content is varied by ± 1 ppbv. The variation in the case where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is not included is not discernable on this scale.

of microphysics. This is in agreement with the findings of Dörnbrack and Leutbecher (2001), where a climatological study indicated an almost complete dependence on mountain waves for the PSC 2 production over Scandinavia. When mountain wave effects are included PSC 2 is seen in the model data in the coldest scenario ($T=T_0-T_A-T_{corr}$), on 23 January 2000, but only when NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is allowed. On 25 January 2000, PSC 2 is observed in both microphysical scenarios in the coldest temperature scenario, although the percentage of PSC 2 is too large, with the largest discrepancy in the case where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is

allowed. The fact that the model overestimates the percentage of PSC 2 on 25 January 2000, may be an indication that the value of T_{corr} is too large in this case, as mentioned earlier. The influence of the choice of NAT nucleation mechanism on the percentage of PSC 2 is not surprising; when NAT particles are present, ice may nucleate heterogeneously as soon as small supersaturations with respect to ice occur, whereas much larger supersaturations are needed in order for homogeneous nucleation of ice particles to take place. Overall, the correspondence between the percentage of PSC 2 in the model data and in the observations seems to be best when NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is taken into consideration, as was the case for the PSC 1a. However, in the case of the PSC 2, the impact of mountain waves is much larger compared to the PSC 1a. Type 2 clouds seem to form exclusively as a consequence of mountain wave effects, regardless of the choice of microphysics, whereas type 1a clouds are observed already as a consequence of the synoptic conditions.

The sensitivity of the model results towards changes in the amount of HNO_3 has been examined by performing model runs where the initial LIMS profile has been shifted by ± 1 ppbv HNO_3 . The results from these sensitivity runs are marked in Figs. 6–9 by horizontal black lines (not all cases are marked; in some cases the variation is not discernable on the scale used in the figures). The amount of model data points classified as PSC 1a varies between the different HNO_3 scenarios, but the differences between the different microphysical scenarios and temperature scenarios are stable under the variations in the HNO_3 profile. It is seen from Figs. 6–9 that the solid PSC percentage can decrease if the amount of HNO_3 is increased. This has to do with the way solid PSCs are defined in the type discrimination algorithm, where a data point is defined as a PSC 1a or PSC 2 point if the surface area density of either NAT or ice constitutes 5% or more of the surface area density of STS. Changing the mixing ratio of HNO_3 will affect both the surface area density of STS and the solid particle types. In particular, raising T_{NAT} by increasing the mixing ratio of HNO_3 may cause changes in the surface area density of NAT because the cooling rate at the point where the temperature drops below T_{NAT} greatly affects the size distribution of the nucleating NAT particles, e.g. forming a few large NAT particles instead of a lot of small particles.

4 The influence of mountain waves on large-scale PSC production

In Sect. 3 it was shown that mountain waves may have a significant influence on the amount of ice particles and that the inclusion of mountain wave effects was necessary in order to be able to produce amounts of ice PSC events comparable to those seen in lidar observations. In addition, it was seen that including a NAT nucleation mechanism which is active above T_{ice} in the simulations resulted in a better correspon-

dence between the amount of NAT and ice particles in the observations and the model output. The apparent importance of mountain waves on ice PSC production and of the choice of NAT nucleation mechanism on production of NAT and ice on local scales naturally leads to the question of the influence of mountain waves and NAT nucleation above T_{ice} on large-scale PSC production. In order to address this issue vortex-covering model runs extending from 15 November 1999, to 15 March 2000, have been made. A set of 19 140 trajectories, distributed over 9 isentropic levels, is initiated on 11 January 2000, and calculated backwards and forwards in time, thereby covering the entire winter season. The model is run for three different temperature scenarios, one unperturbed by mountain waves and two with increasingly stronger mountain wave corrections as described in Sect. 2.1. In the case of the hemispheric simulation, a direct estimate of T_{corr} is not possible and instead, an average of the two values found for 23 and 25 January 2000, is used ($T_{corr}=2.4$ in the hemispheric runs). The value of T_{corr} in the hemispheric case should be considered a rough estimate since it is based on just two local cases. Also, given that indications have been found that the value of T_{corr} for 25 January 2000, is overestimated, the hemispheric T_{corr} value should be considered an upper estimate. In addition to the different temperature scenarios, two microphysical scenarios are tested as well, one where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is included and one where this is not the case.

Initially, the influence of mountain waves on the temperatures is examined by analysing the trajectory data and determining the percentage of the trajectories where the temperature drops below either T_{NAT} or T_{ice} as a function of day number. This provides an estimate of the amount of trajectories where NAT or ice particles can possibly exist. In Fig. 10 these percentages are shown for three different model layers. An ample amount of trajectories is seen to have temperatures below T_{NAT} even without the presence of mountain waves (panels A, B, C, red curves). However, the percentage of trajectories where $T < T_{NAT}$ increases as mountain wave effects are taken into consideration (panels A, B, C, black and blue curves), with the highest trajectory frequencies adhering to the strongest possible temperature perturbation ($T=T_0-T_A-T_{corr}$, panels A, B, C, blue curves). In the case of trajectories where $T < T_{ice}$ (panels D, E, F) only a small amount of trajectories fulfills this criterion when mountain wave effects are not taken into consideration. In this case, temperatures below T_{ice} are only observed briefly just before day 0 and just before day 30 and 40 (panels D, E, F, red curves). When mountain wave-induced temperature fluctuations are included the number of trajectories where $T < T_{ice}$ increases and temperatures below T_{ice} are seen over extended periods of time during the winter season, although the numbers typically are small ($<0.5\%$), with the highest values reaching around 4% (panels D, E, F, black and blue curves). Again, the highest trajectory frequencies are observed in the case where the strongest possible temperature




Fig. 10. Percentage of trajectories where the temperature is below either T_{NAT} (top row) or T_{ice} (bottom row) for three different model layers, 475 K, 515 K, and 550 K. Results are shown for three different temperature scenarios according to the colour coding, one without mountain wave perturbations and two where increasingly stronger mountain wave perturbations are included.

perturbation is employed (panels D, E, F, blue curves). As mentioned earlier, these results can only provide an estimate of the possible existence of NAT and ice particles. In order to evaluate the actual load of NAT and ice particles one must examine the outcome of the full microphysical simulation.

The percentage of trajectories containing NAT or ice particles within the polar vortex as a function of day number according to the microphysical simulation is shown in Fig. 11. Results are shown for three different temperature scenarios and for two different choices of microphysics. In the present analysis, a trajectory is said to contain ice or NAT if the volume density of these particle types exceeds zero. This is a very liberal definition of the presence of ice or NAT and should be interpreted as an upper limit. In the case of NAT (panels A, B, C), it is seen that NAT forms readily as a consequence of the synoptic conditions alone when NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is allowed (panels A, B, C, solid red curves). When this is not the case no NAT particles are seen (panels A, B, C, dotted red curves). When mountain wave effects are included the period during which NAT particles are seen (panels A, B, C, black and blue curves) starts earlier in the winter compared to the case where mountain wave effects were not included (panels A, B, C, red curves). In the cases

where mountain wave effects are taken into account NAT is readily seen throughout the winter, regardless of the choice of microphysics, although the percentage of trajectories containing NAT is consistently higher in the case where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is included.

The importance of mountain wave effects for NAT formation seems much larger in the hemispheric simulations than in the local ones. This could be because the synoptic temperatures alone are generally well below T_{NAT} in the local scale simulations. If the additional cooling caused by mountain waves is not sufficient to lower the temperatures below T_{ice} , the addition of mountain wave effects will not cause many changes. Doing local scale model runs in relatively warmer periods (e.g. the early part of the winter) may reveal a greater impact of mountain waves on NAT formation on local scales as well.

Considering the ice particles (panels D, E, F) it is evident that, regardless of the choice of microphysics, practically no ice particles form as a consequence of the synoptic conditions alone (panels D, E, F, red curves), as was the case in the local-scale model runs as well. When mountain wave effects are included the percentage of trajectories containing ice particles increases noticeably (panels D, E, F, black and




Fig. 11. Percentage of trajectories containing NAT (left column) and ice (right column) particles within the polar vortex as a function of daynumber for the winter 1999–2000. Results are shown for three different model layers, 475 K, 515 K, and 550 K, and for three different temperature scenarios according to the colour coding, one without mountain wave perturbations and two where increasingly stronger mountain wave perturbations are included. The solid lines are from a series of simulations where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is allowed whereas the dotted lines are from a simulation sequence where this is not the case.

blue curves), and trajectories containing ice particles are observed throughout the winter season. When NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is included (solid curves) the percentage is higher than in the case where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is not allowed (dotted curves). These results are in agreement with the findings of Dörnbrack and Leutbecher (2001) who found that practically all ice particle formation over Scandinavia was a consequence of mountain wave activity.

As seen above the effect of localised mountain wave effects may extend beyond their local scale. In hemispheric model runs where mountain wave effects have been included NAT particle production is seen to increase and practically all the ice particles produced in the simulations can be directly attributed to the effect of mountain waves.

5 Results and discussion

Detailed model runs matching lidar measurements from two specific flight days (23 and 25 January 2000) of the NASA DC-8 during SOLVE/THESEO 2000 have been analysed and the correspondence between model data and observational data has been examined. Considering a quantitative analysis of the percentage of data points containing PSC 1a or PSC 2 the correspondence is better when NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is allowed than when this is not the case. In the case of the local scale model runs the amount of PSC 1a is only slightly affected by the inclusion of mountain wave effects in the simulations, whereas mountain waves have a noticeable impact on the amount of PSC 2. In the latter case, the correspondence between measurements and model data increases greatly when mountain waves are added. The limited effect of mountain waves on NAT formation in the two sets of examined DC-8 data may be due to the fact that the synoptic temperatures alone are rather low; substantial amounts of NAT are seen as a consequence of the synoptic conditions alone. Any additional cooling is not likely to result in significant changes unless the cooling results in temperatures below T_{ice} . In a series of sensitivity tests the qualitative differences between the different microphysical scenarios were not affected by variations in the initial HNO_3 profile of ± 1 ppbv.

In the case of large-scale model runs the effect of mountain waves on the solid particle production within the entire northern polar vortex over the course of the winter season 1999–2000 was shown to be quite significant: Practically no ice particles were seen as a consequence of the synoptic conditions alone whereas ice was observed for extended periods of time over the course of the winter when mountain wave effects were taken into consideration, regardless of the choice of microphysics. However, the case where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} was included consistently showed a higher percentage of trajectories containing ice particles. When NAT nucleation above T_{ice} was included the highest percentage of trajectories containing ice particles were around 4%, whereas the percentage of ice particles is the case where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} was not included did not exceed 0.5%, see Fig. 11. NAT particles were seen to form readily as a consequence of the synoptic conditions alone, regardless of the choice of microphysics. However, with the inclusion of mountain wave effects the time period during which NAT particles were present became longer. As was the case with the ice particles, the percentage of trajectories containing NAT particles is greater when NAT nucleation above T_{ice} was included (up to 35% of the trajectories) than when this was not the case (up to 20% of the trajectories). Considering the hemispheric simulations mountain wave effects seem to have a quite significant influence on the NAT formation, raising the percentage of trajectories containing NAT particles by approximately 10% from the warmest to the coldest temperature scenario, see Fig. 11. This is contrary to what was seen in the two local-scale simulations matching DC-

8 flights examined here. A possible explanation could be that the synoptic temperatures alone were low enough to allow for NAT formation during these two flights. Additional local-scale model runs from relatively warmer flight dates (e.g. early in the winter) could help shed some more light on this.

Given the large effect mountain waves apparently had on ice and NAT formation on hemispheric scales during the winter 1999–2000, the inclusion of mountain waves in models seems important in order to be able to provide accurate estimates of the PSC load within the vortex. It would be most interesting to determine whether or not the impact of mountain waves is as pronounced in other winters as well and such an examination is planned for a future study along with a series of comparisons between hemispheric model data and satellite observations.

6 Conclusions

Detailed lidar measurements of PSCs made by the NASA DC-8 have been compared to corresponding model runs from a microphysical PSC model using a number of different temperature and microphysical scenarios. It is found that the best agreement between measurements and model data is seen in the case where mountain wave effects are taken into consideration and where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is included in the microphysics. Motivated by these findings the effect of the choice of microphysics and mountain waves on hemispheric PSC production is examined in a series of vortex-covering model runs extending over the 1999–2000 Arctic winter season. It is seen that the localised mountain wave-induced temperature perturbations have an effect on the production of solid PSCs which extend beyond their local scale; in the hemispheric model runs the percentage of trajectories containing solid PSC particles increases when mountain wave effects are taken into account. Depending on the choice of microphysics, the inclusion of mountain waves is crucial. When NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is not included in the microphysics, practically no trajectories containing ice or NAT particles are observed as a consequence of the synoptic conditions alone, whereas both particle types are seen to form when mountain wave effects are taken into consideration. When NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is included in the microphysics, NAT particles can form as a result of the synoptic conditions alone. However, the amount of NAT and ice particles increases as mountain wave effects are taken into consideration and the percentage of trajectories containing either NAT or ice is consistently larger in the scenario where NAT nucleation above T_{ice} is included in the microphysics compared to the scenario where this is not the case.

Acknowledgements. Part of this work was carried out as part of the EU-funded research project MAPSCORE (EVK2-CT-2000-00077). The Danish Research Council (SNF) is gratefully acknowledged for financial support. Support of the DC-8 lidar measurements was provided by the NASA Upper Atmospheric Research Program. MWFM-2 runs were partially supported by NASA's Atmospheric Chemistry and Analysis Program and by the Office of Naval Research. The constructive criticism given by two anonymous referees is greatly appreciated.

Edited by: T. Koop

References

- Biele, J., Tsias, A., Luo, B., Carslaw, K., Neuber, R., Beyerle, G., and Peter, T.: Nonequilibrium coexistence of solid and liquid particles in Arctic stratospheric clouds, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 22 991–23 007, doi:10.1029/2001JD00188, 2001.
- Brognez, C., Huret, N., Eckermann, S., Rivière, E., Pirre, M., Heman, M., Balois, J.-Y., Verwaerde, C., Larsen, N., and Knudsen, B.: Polar stratospheric cloud microphysical properties measured by the microRADIBAL instrument on 25 January 2000 above Esrange and modeling interpretation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8332, doi:10.1029/2001JD001017, 2003.
- Browell, E.: Differential absorption lidar sensing of ozone, *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 77, 419–432, 1989.
- Browell, E., Butler, C., Ismail, S., Robinette, P., Carter, A., Higdon, N., Toone, O., Schoeberl, M., and Tuck, A.: Airborne Lidar Observations in the Wintertime Arctic stratosphere: Polar Stratospheric Clouds, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 17, 385–388, 1990.
- Carslaw, K. S., Wirth, M., Tsias, A., Luo, B., Dörnbrack, A., Leutbecher, M., Volkert, H., Renger, W., Bacmeister, J., and Peter, T.: Particle microphysics and chemistry in remotely observed mountain polar stratospheric clouds, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 5785–5796, 1998a.
- Carslaw, K. S., Wirth, M., Tsias, A., Luo, B., Dörnbrack, A., Leutbecher, M., Volkert, H., Renger, W., Bacmeister, J., Reimer, E., and Peter, T.: Increased stratospheric ozone depletion due to mountain-induced atmospheric waves, *Nature*, 391, 675–678, 1998b.
- Carslaw, K. S., Peter, T., Bacmeister, J., and Eckermann, S.: Widespread solid particle formation by mountain waves in the Arctic stratosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 1827–1836, 1999.
- Coffey, M., Mankin, W. G., and Hannigan, J. W.: Airborne spectroscopic observations of chlorine activation and denitrification of the 1999/2000 winter Arctic stratosphere during SOLVE, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8303, doi:10.1029/2001JD001085, 2002.
- Dörnbrack, A. and Leutbecher, M.: Relevance of mountain waves for the formation of polar stratospheric clouds over Scandinavia: A 20 year climatology, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 1583–1593, 2001.
- Drdla, K., Schoeberl, M., and Browell, E.: Microphysical modelling of the 1999–2000 Arctic winter: 1. Polar stratospheric clouds, denitrification, and dehydration, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 8312, doi:10.1029/2001JD000782, 2002 (printed 108(D5), 2003).
- Eckermann, S. D. and Preusse, P.: Global Measurements of Stratospheric Mountain Waves from Space, *Science*, 286, 1534–1537, 1999.
- Fueglistaler, S., Buss, S., Luo, B., Wernli, H., Flentje, H., Hostetler, C., Poole, L., Carslaw, K., and Peter, T.: Detailed modeling of mountain wave PSCs, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 697–712, 2003, **SRef-ID: 1680-7324/acp/2003-3-697**.
- Gille, J. C. and Russell, J. M.: The Limb Infrared Monitor of the Stratosphere: Experiment Description, Performance, and Results, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 89, 5125–5140, 1984.
- Hanson, D. and Mauersberger, K.: Laboratory studies of the nitric acid trihydrate: Implications for the south polar stratosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 15, 855–858, 1988.
- Hertzog, A., Vial, F., Dörnbrack, A., Eckermann, S., Knudsen, B., and Pommereau, J.-P.: In situ observations of gravity waves and comparisons with numerical simulations during the SOLVE/THESEO 2000 campaign, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, doi:10.1029/2001JD001025, 2002.
- Hitchman, M., McKay, M., and Trepte, C.: A climatology of stratospheric aerosol, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 20 689–20 700, doi:10.1029/94JD01525, 1994.
- Hu, R.-M., Carslaw, K., Hostetler, C., Poole, L., Luo, D., Peter, T., Füglistaler, S., McGee, T., and Burris, J.: Microphysical properties of wave polar stratospheric clouds retrieved from lidar measurements during SOLVE/THESEO 2000, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, doi:10.1029/2001JD001125, 2002.
- Irie, H., Pagan, K., Tabazadeh, A., Legg, M., and Sugita, T.: Investigation of polar stratospheric cloud solid particle formation mechanisms using ILAS and AVHRR observations in the Arctic, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L15107, doi:10.1029/2004GL020246, 2004.
- Jiang, J., Eckermann, S., Wu, L., and Ma, J.: A search for mountain waves in MLS stratospheric limb radiance from the Northern Hemisphere: data analysis and global mountain wave modeling, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, doi:10.1029/2003JD003974, 2004.
- Knopf, D., Koop, T., Luo, B., Weers, U., and Peter, T.: Homogeneous Nucleation of *NAD* and *NAT* in Liquid Stratospheric Aerosols: Insufficient to Explain Denitrification, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 2, 207–214, 2002, **SRef-ID: 1680-7324/acp/2002-2-207**.
- Knudsen, B. and Grooss, J.-U.: Northern mid-latitude stratospheric ozone dilution in spring modeled with simulated mixing, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 6885–6890, doi:10.1029/1999JD901076, 2000.
- Koop, T., Luo, B., Tsias, A., and Peter, T.: Water activity as the determinant for homogeneous ice nucleation in aqueous solutions, *Nature*, 406, 611–614, 2000.
- Larsen, N.: Polar Stratospheric Clouds: A Microphysical Simulation Model, Scientific Report 91-2, Danish Meteorological Institute, 1991.
- Larsen, N.: Polar Stratospheric Clouds, Microphysical and Optical Models, Scientific report 00-06, Danish Meteorological Institute, 2000.
- Larsen, N., Knudsen, B., Gauss, M., and Pitari, G.: Aircraft induced effects on Arctic polar stratospheric cloud formation, *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*, 11, 207–214, 2002.
- Larsen, N., Knudsen, B., Svendsen, S., Deshler, T., Rosen, J., Kivi, R., Weisser, C., Schreiner, J., Mauersberger, K., Cairo, F., Ovarlez, J., Oelhaf, H., and Spang, R.: Formation of solid particles in synoptic-scale Arctic PSCs in early winter 2002/2003, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 2001–2013, 2004, **SRef-ID: 1680-7324/acp/2004-4-2001**.

- Luo, B., Carslaw, K., Peter, T., and Clegg, S.: Vapour pressures of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{HNO}_3/\text{HCl}/\text{HBr}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solutions to low stratospheric temperatures, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 22, 247–250, 1995.
- Marti, J. and Mauersberger, K.: A survey and new measurements of ice vapor pressure at temperatures between 170 and 250 K, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 20, 363–366, 1993.
- Morcrette, J.-J.: Radiation and cloud radiative properties in the ECMWF operational weather forecast model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 9121–9132, 1991.
- Newman, P. A., Harris, N., Adriani, A., Amanatidis, G., Anderson, J., Braathen, G., Brune, W., Carslaw, K., Craig, M., DeCola, P., Guirlet, M., Hipskind, S., Kurylo, M., Küllmann, H., Larsen, N., Mégie, G., Pommereau, J.-P., Poole, L., Schoeberl, M., Stroh, F., Toon, O., Trepte, C., and Van Roozendaal, M.: An overview of the SOLVE/THESEO 2000 campaign, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 8259, doi:10.1029/2001JD001303, 2002.
- Ovarlez, J., Ovarlez, H., Crespin, J., and Gaubicher, B.: Water vapour measurements in the northern polar vortex, in: Comprehensive Investigations of Polar Stratospheric Aerosols, CIPA Final Report, DMI Scientific Report 04-01, Danish Meteorological Institute, 2004.
- Pagan, K., Tabazadeh, A., Drdla, K., Hervig, M., Eckermann, S., Browell, E., Legg, M., and Foschi, P.: Observational evidence against mountain-wave generation of ice nuclei as a prerequisite for the formation of three solid nitric acid polar stratospheric clouds observed in the Arctic in early December 1999, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D04312, doi:10.1029/2003JD003846, 2004.
- Pierce, R., Al-Saadi, J., Fairlie, T., Natarajan, M., Harvey, V., Grose, W., Russel, J., Bevilacqua, R., Eckermann, S., Fahey, D., Popp, P., Richard, E., Stimpfle, R., Toon, G., Webster, C., and Elkins, J.: Large-Scale Chemical Evolution of the Arctic Vortex During the 1999–2000 Winter: HALOE/POAM3 Lagrangian Photochemical Modeling for the SAGE III Ozone Loss and Validation Experiment (SOLVE) Campaign, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8317, doi:10.1029/2001JD001063, 2003.
- Pinnick, T., Rosen, J., and Hofmann, D.: Stratospheric aerosol measurements III: Optical model calculations, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 33, 304–314, 1976.
- Schreiner, J., Voigt, C., Kohlmann, A., Mauersberger, K., Deshler, T., Kroger, C., Larsen, N., Adriani, A., Cairo, F., Di Donfrancesco, G., Ovarlez, J., Ovarlez, H., and Dörnbrack, A.: Chemical, microphysical, and optical properties of polar stratospheric clouds, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8313, doi:10.1029/2001JD000825, 2003.
- Tabazadeh, A., Djikaev, Y., Hamill, P., and Reiss, H.: Laboratory Evidence for Surface Nucleation of Solid Polar Stratospheric Cloud Particles, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 106, 10 238–10 246, 2002.
- Tolbert, M. A. and Toon, O. B.: Solving the PSC mystery, *Science*, 292, 61–63, 2001.
- Toon, O. B., Tabazadeh, A., Browell, E., and Jordan, J.: Analysis of lidar observations of Arctic polar stratospheric clouds during January 1989, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 20 589–20 615, 2000.
- Voigt, C., Schreiner, J., Kohlmann, A., Zink, P., Mauersberger, K., Larsen, N., Deshler, T., Kröger, C., Rosen, J., Adriani, A., Cairo, F., Di Donfrancesco, G., Viterbini, M., Ovarlez, J., Ovarlez, H., David, C., and Dörnbrack, A.: Nitric Acid Trihydrate (NAT) in Polar Stratospheric Clouds, *Science*, 290, 1756–1758, 2000.
- WMO: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 1998, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project – Report No. 44, World Meteorological Organization, 1999.